```
CONFIDENTIAL -- UNDER SEAL
                                                   300
1
     Mildred C. Richardson
                                     ) In the
2
     et al.,
                     Plaintiff
                                     ) Circuit Court
3
                                     ) For
                                     ) Baltimore City
5
     VS.
6
      Philip Morris, Incorporated, ) Case No.:
7
                                     ) 96145050/CE212596
      et al.,
                                     ) VOLUME II
                     Defendant
9
10
                Deposition of LEONARD ZAHN taken on
11
      Wednesday, December 16, 1998 at 9:12 a.m., at the
12
      Inn at Great Neck, 30 Cutter Mill Road, Great
13
      Neck, NY before E. Duane Smith, RPR-CRR, Notary
14
      Public.
15
16
17
18
19
      Reported by:
20
      E. Duane Smith, RPR-CRR
21
                 COURT REPORTING CONCEPTS, INC.
                      Baltimore, Maryland
                                  Fax (410) 821-4889
          Phone (410) 821-4888
```

	CONFIDENTIAL UNDER SEAL
1	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
2	Samuel Albert Reed,
3	Plaintiff)
4	v .)
5	Philip Morris, Incorporated,) Civil Action No.:
6	et al.,) 96-050750
7	Defendant) VOLUME II
В	
9	Deposition of LEONARD ZAHN taken on
10	Wednesday, December 16, 1998 at 9:12 a.m., at the
11	Inn at Great Neck, 30 Cutter Mill Road, Great
12	Neck, NY before E. Duane Smith, RPR-CRR, Notary
13	Public.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	Reported by:
21	E. Duane Smith, RPR-CRR
	COURT REPORTING CONCEPTS, INC. Baltimore, Maryland
	Phone (410) 821-4888 Fax (410) 821-4889

	CONFIDENTIAL UNDER SEAL
1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	CRAIG BOAS, ESQUIRE
4	LAW OFFICES OF PETER G. ANGELOS, P.C.
5	One Charles Center
6	100 North Charles Street
7	Baltimore, Maryland 21201
8	(410) 649-2086
9	On Behalf of the Plaintiffs
10	Mildred Richardson/Samuel Reed
11	
12	JERRY KRISTAL, ESQUIRE
13	WEITZ & LUXENBERG
14	· 200 Lake Drive East
15	Suite 100
16	Cherry Hill, New Jersey 08002
17	(609) 667-7227
18	On Behalf of the Plaintiffs
19	Iron Workers Local 17
20	
21	
	COURT REPORTING CONCEPTS, INC. Baltimore, Maryland
	Phone (410) 821-4888 Fax (410) 821-4889

CONFIDENTIAL UNDER SEAL 302
APPEARANCES (CONTINUED)
STEVE KLUGMAN, ESQUIRE
DeBEVOISE & PLIMPTON
875 Third Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 909-6000
On Behalf of the Defendant
Council for Tobacco Research and
the Witness
TARA SKY WOODWARD, ESQUIRE
MILES & STOCKBRIDGE
10 Light Street
Baltimore, Maryland 21202
(410) 385-3606
On Behalf of the Defendant
Council for Tobacco Research
Also present: Jim Brady, Videographer
COURT REPORTING CONCEPTS, INC. Baltimore, Maryland

PROCEEDINGS

2 Whereupon, --

1 C

MR. KRISTAL: Why don't we put something on the record, what my understanding is, and we'll wait for whoever comes here and broach the subject and move on.

This is a continuation of Mr. Zahn's deposition in both the Iron Workers case, which is pending in Ohio, and also several of the Angelos firm cases.

We, in the Iron Workers case, had a status conference with Judge Gwin, G-w-i-n, in Akron last Thursday and the subject of privileged documents at deposition was raised.

The judge at that time ruled from the bench that a witness is not to be instructed not to answer, that the attorneys representing the defendants should assert whatever privileges they feel are appropriate and that portion of the deposition would be sealed and then at some point there would be rulings on privilege issues.

We are awaiting the arrival, as far as I understand it, of someone who will speak directly to that issue regarding a protocol, and I don't believe we have any privileged documents at this time, at least initially in the beginning of the deposition, so we can breach with that subject when he gets here.

MR. KLUGMAN: This is Steve Klugman, and I am here on behalf of the witness,
Mr. Zahn. I also represent the Council for Tobacco Research, CTR.

CTR is no longer a defendant in the Iron Workers case. I have talked to representatives, to counsel for defendants in the Iron Workers case, and I do understand that the judge issued a ruling from the bench last week.

I also understand that as of lat yesterday, there was no written order. As a result of my status in the case, CTR's status in the case, Mr. Zahn's status as a non-party in the case, and the fact that there is no written

COURT REPORTING CONCEPTS, INC.
Baltimore, Maryland
Phone (410) 821-4888 Fax (410) 821-4889

R

order, I, have asked Mr. Kristal if he could await the arrival of counsel for one of the defendants to address any privilege issues that might come up.

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

13

15

16

17

18

20

21

Mr. Kristal has agreed to do that, so why don't we proceed?

VIDEO OPERATOR: Today's date is

December 16, 1998. The time is 9:12 a.m. This

is the continuing deposition of Leonard Zahn, and

my name is Jim Brady.

 $\label{eq:continuous} I \mbox{'m the videographer from Certified}$ Video Productions.

I ask now that the attorneys introduce themselves and for the court reporter to reswear the witness.

MR. KRISTAL: Jerry Kristal, from Weitz & Luxenberg on behalf of the plain_iffs.

MR. BOAS: Craig Boas from the Law Offices of Peter Angelos on behalf of the plaintiffs in Richardson and Reed.

MR. KLUGMAN: Steve Klugman, Debevoise

j	
1	& Plimpton, we represent Mr. Zahn and we also
2	represent the Council for Tobacco Research, which
3	is a defendant in the Richardson case.
4	MS. WOODWARD: Sky Woodward from Miles &
5	Stockbridge in Baltimore, representing the
6	Council for Tobacco Research in the Richardson
7	and Reed matters.
8	MR. KLUGMAN: I'm sorry, this is a Reed
9	deposition, as well.
10	MR. BOAS: I believe it has all of the
11	Maryland case names.
12	MR. KLUGMAN: Okay. I thought it had
13	been a Maryland and Richardson deposition. Well,
14	we're in the Reed case as well.
15	LEONARD ZAHN,
16	being first duly sworn to tell the truth, the
17	whole truth, and nothing but the truth, testified
18	as follows:
19	EXAMINATION BY MR. KRISTAL:
20	Q. Good morning, Mr. Zahn, Jerry Kristal.

How are you this morning?

21

A. Good morning. Fine. Thank you.

7.

Q. We are continuing from your deposition of a little over two weeks ago.

Same ground rules apply. Let me just go over them real quickly. If you don't understand a question that I am asking you, please stop me before you answer, tell me you don't understand it, ask me to rephrase it, do something to indicate that you don't understand it, because it is very important to all of us here that you answer questions that you understand. Is that okay.

- A. Yes, yes. Very good.
- Q. Two other ground rules. I will try to my best to wait until you finish your answer before asking you the next question, and I would ask you to try to wait until I finish my question before giving your answer.

And also as you know from last time, counsel may have objections at different times, and it is a great benefit to our court reporter

ľ	here	not	to	talk	over	each	other,	okay?

A. Yes.

- Q. And my last word of advice is we need to give oral responses, yes's or no's as opposed to shakes or nods of the head.
 - A. Yes. I know.
- Q. Now, Mr. Zahn, you worked in some capacity as a consultant for the tobacco industry between 1955 and 1994; is that the time span?

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.

- A. Yes. When you say the tobacco industry, I did work almost entirely at that time, just for CTR, the Council for Tobacco Research.
- Q. You also did some work for the Tobacco
 Institute, I think we talked about last time?

 MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.
 - Q. Is that correct?
- A. I did some work for the Tobacco

 Institute when I was with Hill & Knowlton. And
 when I had my own organization, after 1969, I did

one project for the Tobacco Institute. That was my sole salaried, if you will, connection with the Tobacco Institute.

Q. You also, if you recall, and we'll I'm sure get into it later today, a consultancy arrangement with Philip Morris regarding the conference in Stockholm, Sweden, in 1979. Do you recall that?

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.

- A. I don't think I was doing work for Philip Morris in Stockholm.
- Q. You recall going to Stockholm, though, we'll get into that later. You recall that meeting, the Fourth World Conference on Smoking and Health?
- A. Yes. I believe I do. I haven't hought of it since that time, I think.
- Q. Have you ever done any work for Philip Morris, consultancy work?
 - A. In Europe, yes.
 - Q. That was with Mr. Paul Isenring of

1	Philip Morris?
2	A. Isen
3	Q. I-s-
4	A. Yes,
5	Q. Have
6	for any other
٦.	Morris in Euro
8	MR.

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

21

- senring.
- -s-e-n-r-i-n-g?
- es, sir. The late Mr. Isenring.
- ave you ever done any consultancy work er specific company other than Philip urope?

R. KLUGMAN: You mean tobacco companies?

MR. KRISTAL: Yes. I'm sorry. Thank you.

- I cannot recall that I ever did that
- Now, through the course of your consultancy with either CTR or the Tobacco Institute or Philip Morris in Europe, you have attended a number of scientific conferences throughout the years on the subject of smoking cigarettes, tobacco, health?
- A. Both on tobacco, which were in the minority actually, but more on medical/scientific meetings, which undoubtedly included tobacco in

1	one or more sections of the program.
2	Q. And you have done fairly extensive
3	reading on the subject of tobacco, cigarettes,
4	smoking, health, throughout the course of your
5	career?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. And that would be from published
8	literature as well as internal company
9	documents?
10	MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.
11	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
12	MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.
13	Go ahead and answer.
14	A. It would be almost entirely published
. 5	reports or nonpublished reports, and I did see
16	company documents related to that area.
17	I'm sure I d.d. I can't pull a
18	specific one out of my memory.
19	I would have to say, yes, I read a lot
20	of things that came in front of me.
21	Q. I guess the point that I was trying to

make is that you had access to more information, given your consultancy, than someone in the public who would only have access to the published literature; is that fair to say?

- A. Oh, yes. That's fair to say.

 Absolutely.
- Q. Now, you would agree that nicotine is a pharmacologically active agent?
 - A. Yes.

1 C

Q. And --

MR. KLUGMAN: I'm going to object,
Mr. Kristal, and tell Mr. Zahn is not here as an
expert. If you want to ask him some general
questions in this area, that's fine, but I'm not
going to let you go too far with it.

Q. And are you aware that the tobacco industry, during the time period you were consulting with it, believed that nicotine was a pharmacologically active agent?

1	MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.
2	A. Well, are you saying did a company
3	chief executive officer say to me, Len, I know
4	that nicotine is pharmacologically active? No.
5	nothing like that ever happened. I can say I
6	have to assume that they knew what was in the
7	chemistry books.
8	Q. And they were also supporting research
9	in that area; were they not?
0	MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.
.1	Lack of foundation.
. 2	A. Yes.
.3	Q. And you attended conferences where
4	tobacco industry scientists discussed nicotine?
١5	MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.
۱6	A. I don't think so. I'm an
٦	interesting question. I think I recall one
18	meeting where a tobacco company scientist was on
19	the program. I'm not sure.
20	And for some reason, I believe that the
21	scientist was with R.J. Reynolds. But I'm not

even sure of that. I'm not even sure that such a thing occurred. It seems to my faded memory that, perhaps, it did occur.

But generally, tobacco company scientists as far as I can recall, if they were on a scientific program somewhere, it was a very rare occasion.

Q. You would agree that people smoke for the nicotine?

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form. Go ahead and give him your personal view.

A. My personal view is they smoke mostly for the reaction they get to the nicotine in tobacco, of course, and in the smoke. But there are one other two other factors that people smoke for.

But I would say nicotine seems to be the major reason why people smoke.

Q. And that is something that was well known in the tobacco industry, was it not?

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.

	_		_				
No	Ŧ	Ou	nd	at	1	On	

A. I would have to assume that, yes.

MR. KLUGMAN: I'm going to tell you,

Mr. Zahn, don't assume.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

MR. KLUGMAN: Tell him what you know, tell him what you remember, but not what you assume.

THE WITNESS: I have no way of knowing that.

Q. You have been at meetings, you have been on committees, haven't you, where the fact that people smoke to get nicotine has been discussed? That's never happened?

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.

- A. Oh, I'm sure it did, but I can't remember.
- Q. I'm not asking you for specifics. I realize you are not going to be able to sit here and say, oh, yes, there was a meeting in June of 1972 or May of 1983, I understand that.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

But am I correct that it was well known through your contacts with the industry, that the industry believed people smoke because of the nicotine?

MR. KLUGMAN: Same objection I had before. You want to talk to him about what people said at meetings, that's one thing, but I don't know how can tell you what people knew independent of that. Go ahead, Mr. Zahn.

Again, Mr. Kristal, I want to answer you and give you something specific. But it is almost impossible for me to say that I can't recall generally, vaguely, specifically or strongly, that I was at a meeting where people said, where people from the industry were talking about nicotine in tobacco and saying, yes, we know this is why people smoke. The committees that I served on probably would not have done that, even if it was a quasi scientific committee.

We wouldn't be spending all our time

COURT REPORTING CONCEPTS, INC. Baltimore, Maryland Fax (410) 821-4889 Phone (410) 821-4888

talking about nicotine. It is just impossible really for me to respond.

- Q. Well, maybe you are not understanding the question then. Let me give you an example to try to illustrate what I am asking.
 - A. All right.

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. We can agree that Charlie Connolly was a quarterback for the New York Giants a long time ago, you remember that?
 - A. I'll take --
 - Q. Joe Namath for the Jets?
 - A. Oh, yes, I remember.

MR. KLUGMAN: He doesn't go back as far as you do, Mr. Kristal.

- Q. You can tell us that Joe Namath was a quarterback for the Jets. You might not be able to tell us a specific date in which Joe Namath played in a particular game; is that fair to say?
 - A. Oh, yes, that's true.
- Q. So I'm not asking you to give us a specific date that Joe Namath played in a game.

I'm not asking you for a specific meeting. 1 But as a general rule, would you agree 2 that the tobacco industry was aware that people 3 smoked for the nicotine? 4 5 MR. KLUGMAN: And I object, due to the lack of foundation. Go ahead and answer, 6 7 Mr. Zahn? Again, did industry representatives 8 9

- tell me that?
 - No. Was it your understanding --Q.
 - Did I --Α.

10

11

12

13

14 15

17

18

19 20

21

- I'm sorry.
- -- I would have to say, yes, it was my understanding. I mean that's why I used to smoke.
- Now, with respect to the disease causing propensity of cigarette smoking, it was the public relations position of the tobacco industry from the first day that you started your consultancy work in 1955 until you retired in 1994, that whether smoking caused any disease was

1	
1	an unresolved question. Is that fair to say?
2	MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to form.
3	A. In great part, yes, that is correct.
4	Q. And the public relations position was
5	that more research needed to be done to determine
6	whether or not cigarette smoking caused any
7	disease?
8	MR. KLUGMAN: You are not talking about
9	Mr. Zahn, you are talking about the tobacco
10	industry, is that the question?
11	MR. KRISTAL: Yeah, that was part of
12	what you were involved in was public relations,
13	if not exclusively, correct?
14	A. Yes, for the Council, CTR.
15	Q. And the CTR would issue public
16	relations statements regarding the state of the
17	scientific evidence at times, regarding smoking
18	and health?
19	MR. KLUGMAN: At times when, just
20	ever?
21	MR. KRISTAL: At times during your
	COURT REPORTING CONCEPTS, INC.
	Baltimore, Maryland Phone (410) 821-4888 Fax (410) 821-4889

	C	a	r	e	e	r	

- 2
- 3

1

- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- .
- 8
- .
- 1 C
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 21

- A. No.
- O. Never?
- A. Not that I can remember.
- Q. Press releases, public relations statements, were given, written by you and then sent to various media for publication regarding the annual reports and the research of the CTR?
 - A. The annual reports, yes.
- Q. Okay. And in some of those annual reports, the public relations position taken by you was that more scientific research needed to be done to answer the question as to whether or not cigarette smoking caused disease; is that fair to say?
- MR. KLUGMAN: Objection. You lost me, Mr. Kristal. If Mr. Zahn can follow it, he car answer it.
- A. Can you rephrase it and try to be a little more specific.
 - Q. Sure.

A. In terms of time and exactly what parameters you are trying to -- you want me to respond to. I'm not clear what you are driving at.

1 2

- Q. Was the public relations position as articulated by you for the Council for Tobacco Research, for the entire period that you were working from 1955 to 1994, was that position that the question of whether or not cigarette smoking caused any disease needed further research?
- MR. KLUGMAN: Objection. Lack of foundation. That has a faulty premise in it. Go ahead.
- A. I have to break that up into the time periods that I really was hoping that you would.

From 1955 to 1969, I worked for Hill & Knowlton, which represented CTR and its predecessor organization. Not for that entire time, because the Council was not a client of Hill & Knowlton for the last number of years leading up to 1969.

You understand what I am saying?

Q. I'm understanding.

A. But during whatever years it was a client and especially the early years -- when I say early, I mean 1955, perhaps, through to 1960 or something like that -- yes, Hill & Knowlton did issue statements on behalf of the Council on the health aspects of smoking raising questions some of the claims that were being made as smoking causing this disease or that disease, things of that kind, and citing medical literature in general, and many scientists themselves were saying the same thing.

The situation in the late 50's and early 60's and perhaps even a little bit longer is 180 degrees what it has been in the last ten to fifteen years and certainly in the last ten years, altogether, night from day.

It is a standard scientific or a standard statement by scientists, when they are applying for a grant, reporting results or

whatever, to say more research is needed, even if they think they have done a lot, because there are always things to wrap up.

Certainly, that was very true of the smoking and health situation, because it all boiled down to the question of a lot of questions, major questions, important questions, seemed to be unanswered.

And scientists are the first to recognize that and say that.

From 1969 on, when I became -- I'm sorry, somebody just came in -- when I became a consultant to CTR, I do not recall ever issuing a press release that discussed anything along the lines of what I have just been saying.

My press releases were pretty much very straightforward and covered really two things, the annual report, which in any year, at least from 1969 on and even earlier, did not go into any discussion of smoking and health in a general way or even a specific way, but merely gave

statistics from what was included in the annual report, the number of dollars spent, the amount of abstracts published and so forth.

I would also issue releases twice a year when the Scientific Advisory Board to the Council approved grants and the releases, of course, would go to the home town newspapers of those scientists had gotten grants from the Council.

And there was one other occasion in all these years, that I can recall, in which I did a release for the media on research into smoking and health, specifically smoking and lung cancer, and that was with the Microbiological Associates done under sponsorship from the Council, when MAI issued its final report.

MR. KRISTAL: I move to strike the nonresponsive portions of that answer. That is not out of any disrespect to you, but it is a legal obligation I have for my client.

Let me show you what I will mark as

1	Exhibit 1.
2	(Whereupon, Zahn Deposition
3	Exhibit No. 1, memo dated July 6, 1979, marked.)
4	Q. This is on Leonard Zahn & Associates
5	letterhead; is it not, Mr. Zahn?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. The title is for: "The Council for
8	Tobacco Research-USA, Inc., for release Friday
9	July 6, 1979, and in the upper right-hand corner,
10	it has printed on the paper "news". Now, this is
11	a press release that you authored, correct?
12	A. Yes.
13	MR. KLUGMAN: Just wait until he
14	finishes the question, Mr. Zahn.
15	Q. Yes, this is a press release that you
16	authored?
17	A. Oh, yes, I do not recall it. But it is
18	obviously something that I wrote and distributed
19	for the Council.
20	Q. And this was a public press release
21	that was disseminated to the media, correct?
	II

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. And the, in bold underlined, it reads:
3	"Tobacco research group says and then in
4	capital letters, "continued research needed to
5	find causes of cancer and other major diseases."
6	Did I read that correctly?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. That was the public relations position
9	that was being taken by the Council for Tobacco
10	Research, was it not, regarding the causes of
11	cancer with respect to cigarette smoking?
12	A. Well, it was
13	MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.
14	It is vague. Go ahead.
15	A. It was not a public relations
16	position. It was a scientific position or a
17	position on a scientific subject.
18	Q. Written by you and your title as their
19	public relations counsel, correct?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. This was not written by a scientist?

1	A. No. I wrote this.
2	Q. And, basically, the thrust of it, if
3	you will look in the third paragraph: "The
4	Council pledged continuing financial support to
5	independent scientists for studies on smoking and
6	health and expressed optimistic that future
7.	research will help solve the mysteries that still
8	surround these constitutional ailments."
9	You see that?
10	A. Yes. That's the fourth paragraph, not
11	the third.
12	Q. Thank you. And that was the position
13	of CTR, correct?
14	MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.
15	You can answer.
16	A. Yeah, it was always its position to
17	continue with financial support.
18	Q. And the financial support, which was
19	for research to help solve the mysteries,
20	correct?
21	A. Yes.

1	Q. The mysteries that you are referring to
2	here are the mysteries as to whether or not
3	smoking causes cancer or any disease, correct?
4	MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.
5	It is leading.
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Now, this is 1979, correct?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. And this was the 25th anniversary of
10	the CTR?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. And when you retired, it was around the
13	40th anniversary of the CTR, correct?
14	A. 1954-94, yes.
15	Q. The position of CTR at the time you
16	retired was the same as you articulated in this
17	press release, was it not, that more research
18	needed to be done to answer the question as to
19	whether or not smoking caused cancer or any other
20	disease, correct?
21	MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.

COURT REPORTING CONCEPTS, INC.

Baltimore, Maryland) 821-4888 Fax (410) 821-4889

Phone (410) 821-4888

CONFIDENTIAL -- UNDER SEAL

- A. Now repeat your question.
- Q. The question was whether in 1994, the

COURT REPORTING CONCEPTS, INC.
Baltimore, Maryland
Phone (410) 821-4888 Fax (410) 821-4889

happened.

19

20

21

position of the Council for Tobacco Research was the same as is articulated by you in 1979, which is, with respect to smoking and whether or not it causes cancer or other major diseases, it is still not proven, it's still unknown, more research needs to be done.

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.

You have misstated his testimony. That's a sin in Maryland, I don't know whether it is in Ohio, but we are in both states here.

MR. KRISTAL: But for the fact that I wasn't quoting anybody's testimony.

MR. KLUGMAN: You weren't quoting his or not accurately anyhow. Go ahead, Mr. Zahn.

A. It is difficult for me as a layman, albeit an educated one, in the area of smoking and health, to say, well, yeah, this has been proven.

Many scientists find it difficult to say this is proven with no doubt whatsoever. It can't be a legal definition, one that might come

COURT REPORTING CONCEPTS, INC.
Baltimore, Maryland
Phone (410) 821-4888 Fax (410) 821-4889

up in court, because science and medicine just are not made that way.

Yet, I would have to say the Council generally certainly believed that there had to be more research to answer what seemed to be mysteries, a lot of unanswered questions.

Assuming that smoking did cause lung cancer, what is the answer to the fact that only 10 percent of smokers, of heavy smokers, get lung cancer? What about the other 90 percent? What causes their lung cancer? Why don't they -- I'm sorry, I mean why don't the other 90 percent get lung cancer, if it is a causative agent?

The same with heart disease and other ailments. Certainly, smoking seems to be involved, but is it involved in saying smoking is the cause? Is it the sole cause?

Many, many scientists, at least from the literature I recall reading and the meetings I have gone to, believe and I'm sure the fact is still true today, that diseases, major diseases

are not based on a single cause or perhaps even two, but result from a host of factors.

And determining these factors and the importance of each one in this whole spectrum of the disease certainly is a mystery.

If you did not smoke, would there be fewer lung cancers? Undoubtedly. If we did not breathe polluted air, would there be fewer lung cancers? Undoubtedly.

I can't give you a better answer than that, trying to be fair to the question you have asked and to what limited knowledge I have.

- Q. Were you done?
- A. Yes.

3

4 5

6 7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MR. KRISTAL: I move to strike the nonresponsive portions of that answer.

Q. The question is: Was it the positio: in 1994, when you retired, of the Council for Tobacco Research, that with respect to smoking and causation of lung cancer, let's just start with that, was it the position of the Council for

Tobacco Research that smoking caused lung cancer or was it the position of the Council for Tobacco Research, that we need to do more research to find out if smoking causes lung cancer or was the position something else?

MR. KLUGMAN: I object to the form,
Mr. Kristal. I think there is a real flaw in
that question, I will be happy to share it with
you, but I don't want to make a speaking
objection, unless you want me to do this.

A. Let me answer as simply as I can. I believe the fact that the Council continued to provide money annually to provide research in that area, as well as other areas related to smoking and to diseases, major diseases generally, yes, the Council apparently believed that more research had to be done, and in 1994, and even after that, I would guess, because they continued to provide funds.

Q. Now, I'm not talking about research into why some smokers don't get lung cancer,

whether there are other contributing factors, I'm talking about research on the very fundamental question as to whether or not smoking was a cause of lung cancer.

You would agree that the position of the Council was more research needed to be done on that very basic question.

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.

MR. KRISTAL: In 1994.

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form,
Mr. Kristal, and because you are pursuing this, I
will make the objection. When you say "position":
I don't know as Mr. Zahn seems to understand, you
are talking about what views did the Council
hold, and I think that is a difficult question to
answer, given the fact that there are a lot of
people, or whether you are talking about some
stated position. I think they are two very
different things, and I think the question is
ambiguous for that reason.

Q. The public position of the Council for

Tobacco Research in 1994 was that we don't know whether or not smoking causes lung cancer; isn't that true?

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form. I wish you wouldn't ask him leading questions.

Just ask him questions.

- A. Well, you could almost break that down to we don't know what causes cancer.
- Q. You are answering the question with a question.
 - A. Well, let me --

1 2

3

5

6

8

10

11

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

MR KLUGMAN: Just --

THE WITNESS: Well, let me finish. If we don't know the cause of cancer generally, do we know the cause of lung cancer, liver cancer?

Let me add to that, you know, cancer is not one disease. It is a number of diseases, but I would say the Council continued to provide funds because it did not know what was the cause of cancer.

It continued to support researchers.

Now, why it did it? Because it had been continuing throughout its existence to support a research program into smoking and disease and health, they sound contradictory, and it just never stopped, because of all these questions that still remain out there.

Are you saying we have to get to the last, the crossing of the last T and the dotting of the last I? I don't know. I'm not qualified to say that. I'm not a scientist.

- Q. Are you done?
- A. Yes.

MR. KRISTAL: I move to strike the nonresponsive portions of that answer.

- Q. Did the Council for Tobacco Research at any point in time make any public statement that smoking has been determined to be a cause of lung cancer at any point in time up until you retired?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Did the Council for Tobacco Research

ever take a public position that, up until the time you retired, that smoking caused any disease?

- A. Not that I am aware of, no.
- Q. Was the public position of the Council for Tobacco Research, as of the time.you retired, that more research needed to be done to determine whether smoking caused lung cancer?
 - MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.
- A. Yes, because it continued to provide funds for the research.
- Q. And was the public position of the Council for Tobacco Research as of the time that you retired that more research needed to be done to determine whether smoking caused any disease?
- MR. KLUGMAN: Objection. You responded to my last objection. When you say public position, do you mean was it stated, which is how I understand it?
 - MR. KRISTAL: That's right.
 - MR. KLUGMAN: Public position, was it

1 state to do the public?

MR. KRISTAL: That's right.

A. I don't think the Council ever stated it as such, that is publicly or any other way that I know of.

As I mentioned before, I had forgotten completely about this thing, but I see the reason for it. It was an anniversary type of thing.

No, the Council had not taken a public position for a number of years on smoking and disease. I have not issued a release on it or anything like that.

- Q. Fair to say --
- A. -- that I can remember.
- Q. Fair to say that the operating position, the position under which the Council for Tobacco Research operated up through the time you retired, is that we don't know whether smoking causes any disease?

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.

A. I don't know what you mean by an

operating position. It continued from day one to today, I guess.

As I say, I keep having to repeat, its operating position was if, I'm not sure I understand your phrase, was to support research, and it continued to do that throughout.

Q. Would you agree there is a difference between supporting research as to whether smoking causes lung cancer as a question as opposed to what are the causes of cancer?

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.

- A. I don't understand your question.
- Q. Sure. You said earlier that, in your opinion, a number, 90 percent you said, of smokers don't get cancer and why do they get cancer, that kind of thing.

My question is: Is there a distinction between the Council for Tobacco Research's position on whether smoking causes lung cancer as opposed to what are all the causes of lung cancer? There is a difference in those two

1 | questions, is there not?

2

4 5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.

- A. Yes. Yes, I think so.
- Q. With respect to the first question, whether smoking causes lung cancer, up through 1994, when you retired, that was always deemed by the Council for Tobacco Research to be an unresolved question.

That's the point I'm trying to ask.

MR. KLUGMAN: Now we're not talking about publicly positions, we are talking about what unnamed people believed.

MR. KRISTAL: Right.

MR. KLUGMAN: What a corporation for whom various people work believed. Objection to form.

- A. It continued to fund scientists. I mean were they just throwing the money out?
- Q. So I take it from your answer that the position was that question, whether smoking causes lung cancer is not answered.

MR. KLUGMAN: Same objection. Vague and ambiguous, and if it means what I think it means, this witness has no foundation upon which to answer it.

A. It is hard for me to say yes or no. :
ask you to look at a lot of research that was
funded, which was basic research, and basic
research, well, you probably know what it is as
well as I do or better.

Before you can go on to determining something in science, you have to build up a store of information that will enable you to get to that particular stage.

And the Council and many scientists felt that this background of basic but very important evidence was lacking in certain areas.

And so a lot of the money went into that particular kind of research. It had nothing to do with tobacco at the time, but it may have had a lot to do with further understanding of cancer in general, and specific cancers would

follow from that, and for heart disease and a lot of other ailments

So, as I say, I just cannot say yes or no, because it is not a black and white answer, as it is not in many ways a black and white situation.

- Q. Now, when you said in the context of that answer, the Council believed that there was certain research lacking, something to that effect?
- A. Well, the Council, the Scientific Advisory Board.
- Q. Is that what you meant when you say "the Council"?
- A. Well, yes, the Council, the lay people who -- the lay people from the companies that provided the funds had nothing to do with it, but they were, the board of directors, no, they are not the ones who said this. And when I say the Council, I meant the scientists on the Council.
 - Q. Did you generate any public relations

Ш	statements	on	behalf	of	CTR	in	the	1990's?
---	------------	----	--------	----	-----	----	-----	---------

- A. In the 1990's?
 - O. Yes.

- A. All I can recall would be just on the annual report.
- Q. Now, your personal opinion, up until the time you retired, was that you didn't know whether smoking caused any disease; is that fair to say?
- MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form. I don't know what his personal opinion has to do with it. But go ahead and ask a few questions about that, if you want.
- A. Oh, I believed that smoking did have something to do with it, obviously. There was an overwhelming amount of evidence, but was it the final answer? I don't know.
- Q. Let me show you your deposition from 1986 in the Cippolone case.

Now, the Cippolone case was the first time you had been deposed?

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. You were deposed, do you recall, for
3	three days in December of 1986?
4	A. Gee, I thought it was three months.
5	Q. Only felt like three months. Right.
6	Let me show you pages 418 and 419 of your
7	deposition.
8	MR. KLUGMAN: Pile of papers over there
9	looks like three months, too, that was before the
.0	days of the Min-U-Script.
1	Q. On page 418, we'll have to read this
. 2	together:
13	"Question: Have you ever held a belief
4	as to whether or not cigarette smoking caused
1.5	disease in human beings?" Do you see that?
16	A. Yes. Yes. I see that.
17	Q. There was an objection and your answer
18	was:
19	"It may and it may not."
20	You see that?
21	A. Yes.

	CONFIDENTIAL UNDER SEAL 345
ı	Q. Then the question is: "That is your
2	opinion?"
3	Do you see that?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. And then you stated: "I don't know, I
6	am not qualified certainly to speak as a
7	physician or a scientist."
8	Do you understand that?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. And then the question:
11	"I understand that, sir. I am just
12	attempting to ascertain what your opinion is in
13	that regard."
14	And your answer was: "I do not know."
15	Question: "You don't know what your
16	opinion is?" That is what I am trying to find
17	out, sir, that's all I'm trying to find out what
18	your opinion is."
19	And your answer was why don't you
20	read that?
21	A. "I do not know whether smoking causes
	COURT REPORTING CONCEPTS, INC. Baltimore, Maryland
	Phone (410) 821-4888 Fax (410) 821-4889

ı	d	i	s	•	a	s	e	١

Q. Now, that was December of 1986, correct?

MR. KLUGMAN: Excuse me, Mr. Kristal, could I see the few pages on either side of that, and you can go on and I'll take a look at it.

- Q. Sure. Was that testimony truthful in 1986, I do not know what causes?
 - A. I believe so.
- Q. Did that opinion at some point in time change?
 - A. To a degree.
- Q. And you would agree that that opinion changed in around 1994, correct?

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.

- A. I don't know when it did, but it had certainly been, I would guess, shifting a bit each year through the years. I can't give you a time period.
- Q. Well, your current belief, your current opinion is that smoking causes lung cancer,

1	correct?
2	MR. KLUGMAN: I couldn't hear that,
3	Mr. Kristal.
4	MR. KRISTAL: Smoking causes lung
5	cancer, is that right, Mr. Zahn?
6	MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form. I
7.	think he has already, in effect, answered this
8	question.
9	A. I would have to say yes but. Do you
10	want me to answer what the but would lead into?
11	Q. No.
12	MR. KLUGMAN: Well, you determine,
13	Mr. Zahn, whether you need to do that to make it
14	a complete answer. It is up to you, not
15	Mr. Kristal.
16	A. I still wonder what causes the lung
17	cancer in the 90 percent of smokers who do not
18	get the disease.
19	Q. Well, there can be many cancers of lung
20	cancer, correct?

COURT REPORTING CONCEPTS, INC.
Baltimore, Maryland
Phone (410) 821-4888 Fax (410) 821-4889

A. That's correct.

]	
1	Q. Is smoking one of them?
2	MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to form.
3	A. It could be in certain susceptible
4	people.
5	Q. Does smoking cause emphysema?
6	MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.
7	Go ahead.
8	A. It could in certain susceptible people.
9	Q. Does smoking cause cardiovascular
10	disease?
11	MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.
12	A. I guess in certain susceptible
13	MR. KLUGMAN: Let me just make it
14	clear, Mr. Kristal, you are asking him for his
15	views today?
16	MR. KRISTAL: That's correct.
17	MR. KLUGMAN: Again, he is not here as
18	an expert. I don't see the relevance of it, but
19	go ahead and answer the questions, as long as we
20	don't spend all our time on it. Go ahead,
21	Mr. Zahn.

- 1	
1	A. In certain susceptible persons.
2	Q. Now, let me show you your testimony
3	from just a couple of months ago. In May of
4	1998, you were deposed, correct, in the
5	Commonwealth of Massachusetts versus Philip
6	Morris, et al., you remember that?
7	A. Yeah, I think so.
8	. Q. Let me show you on page 203, 204, 205.
9	Page 203 from May 28, 1998, line 17.
LO	"Mr. Zahn, do you believe that smoking
1	causes disease in humans?"
. 2	And then your lawyer says: "Does he
L 3	now believe that?"
4	And the lawyer said: "Yes."
1.5	Do you see that?
۱6	A. Yes.
17	Q. What was your answer to the question do
8 1	you believe that smoking causes disease in
19	humans?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Then the question was:
	COURT REPORTING CONCEPTS, INC.

Baltimore, Maryland
Phone (410) 821-4888 Fax (410) 821-4889

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

. .

14

15

16

1 7

18

19

21

"Do you have an idea which diseases you believe that smoking causes?"

And why don't you read your answer.

A. I'm looking for --

MR. KLUGMAN: I'm not clear. Is this impeachment? I mean we've got this deposition transcript. I don't know why we need to clutter this record with it, Mr. Kristal. Are you impeaching him with this?

MR. KRISTAL: Why don't you read the answer?

- A. The question is what, line 25 there, do you have an idea of which diseases you believe that smoking, is that what you just asked?
 - Q. Yes.
- A. I can't say yes or no. Yes. I believe smoking is related to lung cancer strongly, but not to all lung cancers. In fact, as you know probably as well as I that perhaps 90 percent of lung cancers are not related to smoking or 90 percent of smokers do not get lung cancer. But

there is no doubt from the available evidence now, the tremendous mass of it related to emphysema, to certain heart disease, related to pancreatic disease, may be certainly inferentially related to a number of other ailments. It appears that it is really not a good thing physically.

Obviously a lot of people get something out of tobacco, the nicotine, the pharmacologically active agent. Yes. I believe those things.

- Q. Okay. So in 1998, do you still believe what you said in May, what you just read?
- A. Well, I think I said the same thing with perhaps a slight shift or a little embellishment.
- Q. But you believe as you sit here today smoking causes lung cancer, emphysema, certain heart disease and probably related to pancreatic disease.

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.

1 That's not what his testimony is.

- A. In certain susceptible individuals.
- Q. And then on page 205, you were asked about when did you first formulate that belief.
 - A. Where is 205.
- Q. On line 11 you said: "You never know these things, because there are still on many complex factors involved. I began to feel certain in the last five years or so, the last four, with everything I have been reading, some of the journals I still continue to see and, certainly, the newspaper stories, not all of which I believe as to accuracy, but, certainly, there has been enough around and enough publicity to make me feel that way."

Do you see that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Fair to say then that you began to feel certain about your opinions in the last four to five years?
 - A. I would have to say so.

- Q. And that is right around the time you retired, correct?
 - A. Yeah. Yes.

- Q. What is it that occurred in the last four to five years that has led you to your current opinion that didn't exist before you retired?
 - A. Well, you just read what it was.
 - Q. What was it?
- A. Some of the journals I still continue to see, certainly the newspaper stories, et cetera, there has been enough around and enough publicity to make me feel that way. And even before that, I had said something similar. Where is it? Yeah, the tremendous mass of available evidence.
- Q. So something happened in 1994 and thereafter that led to some sort of critical mass in your belief as to whether smoking causes certain disease?
 - MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to form. It is

leading, it is even argumentative, it is
improper.

- A. Mr. Kristal, that is an impossible question to answer.
- Q. Well, you said that there were certain things you read, right, in newspapers and in published articles after 1994, right, isn't that what your answer was?

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.

A. Let me put it this way: Did a switch suddenly get thrown, no, you don't expect that to happen to you or to anyone else.

You can't say, oh, it started with this.

What you could say about a life event, a major life event, you can say, yes, this was it.

No. This was just, it could have started ten years ago, I don't know. But, certainly, in the last several years, as I have said here, I began to realize, gee, there is a

COURT REPORTING CONCEPTS, INC.
Baltimore, Maryland
Phone (410) 821-4888 Fax (410) 821-4889

hell of a lot, I always knew there was a lot out there. I'm talking about evidence, some of which I, in my ignorance as a layman, did not believe for various reasons, which I thought were valid, but there is such a huge mass out there.

And, again, I say I don't know when it began, but I suddenly started thinking, yeah, there's got to be something out there, there is just too much out there for there not to be something.

But I didn't believe then and I don't believe now that it is a mass application of the data, because the data do not support that.

Never mind what the publicity and the propaganda say.

I still want know why the great majority of smokers don't get it.

Q. Did the hell of a lot of evidence that you say now exists regarding smoking and disease exist before you retired?

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.

A. Oh, there was a lot there, absolutely, great amount.

- Q. But at no point before you retired, did you believe that smoking caused disease; is that correct?
 - MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.
- A. You know, I don't know how many times you keep pounding me with that. It may have started ten years ago, but I was either unaware of it, or shoved it aside or didn't believe it or didn't even know it was there.
- Q. I'm not asking you whether you were aware of it or not, but in 1986, when you testified in the Cippolone case, that is 12 years ago, your opinion was you don't know whether smoking caused disease, right, we just read that?
- A. Well, I still don't know. How am I supposed to know, any more than you are supposed to know?
 - Q. Here is my question: Do you have an

1	opinion as	you sit here to disease?	day whether	smoking
2	causes any	disease?		

- A. I will tell you again, probably for the 20th time, yes, in certain susceptible individuals.
- Q. You didn't say that in 1986, correct, you said I don't know whether smoking causes disease.

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection.

- A. And at that time, that's exactly what I believed.
- Q. So something occurred between 1986, when you were deposed in the Cippolone case, and around the time that you retired, that made you change your opinion; is that a fair statement?

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.

- A. No. It is not a fair statement. I don't know what occurred. There was know epiphany.
 - Q. Right.

.

A. I didn't awaken and see a flash of

light	and so	omebody	said,	Zahn,	you	know	smoki	ng
causes	lung	cancer	. You	must	belie	eve t	hat.	

- Q. Uh-huh. Right.
- A. It didn't happen, and it doesn't happen to anybody that way, or very few people. Was it a gradual accumulation? I have no idea.
- Q. Now, you quit smoking on your 62nd birthday in 1985?
 - A. That was 13 years ago. Yes, I did.
 - Q. And your wife also quit on that day?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Before you quit, had you or your wife ever been advised by a doctor to quit?
- MR. KLUGMAN: Mr. Kristal, can you tell me what this question has to do with any issue in this lawsuit?
- MR. KRISTAL: Other than the fact that it was asked ever every single one might have clients.
- $\label{eq:mr.klugman:} \textbf{MR. KLUGMAN:} \quad \textbf{I can understand what it}$ has to do with your clients. But that's not

really the issue.

MR. KRISTAL: You don't have to understand the issue that I'm asking. You can assert a privilege and instruct him not to answer.

MR. KLUGMAN: He is a third party. I think it's intrusive. It is not privileged. Go ahead, Mr. Zahn, but I ask that you abbreviate this.

- A. I don't remember. Well, maybe a number of years ago, one physician to whom I went for an annual checkup suggested, nothing ever strong, because I've always been pretty healthy. No, I don't think so.
- Q. Okay. Had anybody ever advised you to quit before you actually quit?
 - A. What do you mean by "anybody"?
- Q. Anybody, your children, your wife, your friends?
- A. A number of physicians I had met through the years at meetings.

- 1	
1	Q. Did you ever try to quit before you
2	quit on your sixty-second birthday?
3	A. I don't think so. I can't remember.
4	Q. Okay. Do your daughters smoke?
5	A. No.
6	Q. Have they ever smoked?
7	A. My my younger one may have. But she
8	has not been a smoker for, I don't know how long.
9	Q. What time frame was it when your
10	daughters were teenagers, 12, 13, 14, 15, was
11	that in the seventies?
12	A. Well, my older is, let's see, she is
13	45, 46. My younger one will be 45. The younger,
14	the last day of this month, December 31. And it
15	had to be, for the younger one
16	Q. So your daughters were born in the
17	early 1950's, '51, '52, '53?
18	A. No. '53 and '54. They are 21 months
19	apart. That's why it would have been two years.
20	The older one was born in March of '43.
21	MR. KLUGMAN: '53.

COURT REPORTING CONCEPTS, INC. Baltimore, Maryland

Fax (410) 821-4889

Phone (410) 821-4888

Did you believe that at that time? 1 No. I did not. 2 Α. Q. Well, you believed it enough to tell 3 your daughters about it, right? 4 5 I didn't tell them, because they didn't come to me. The older one never did smoke, and 6 the younger one smoked, but not at home. I 7 didn't know she had until later. 8 MR. KLUGMAN: I didn't have a chance to 9 10 say it, Mr. Kristal, but I think that was very unfair. You asked him a hypothetical question 11 and he answered it and you took that is that as a 12 statement of fact. 13 Q. In 1969, you believed that digarettes 15 were coffin nails, you believed they would kill 16 you. Did you just say that? 17 Α. No. 18 You didn't say that?

COURT REPORTING CONCEPTS, INC.
Baltimore, Maryland
Phone (410) 821-4888 Fax (410) 821-4889

because I don't believe it is a healthy habit, a

would have told my daughters not to smoke,

I told you I didn't believe it, but I

19

20

21

ĺ	
1	good habit,
2	Q. Okay. So you believed in the mid
3	1960's, '68, '69, that cigarettes were not
4	healthy?
5	MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.
6	A. In a general sense, yes.
7,	Q. And what was the unhealth problem with
8	cigarettes in that time frame?
9	A. Well, I don't know, it just didn't seem
10	like a healthy habit. People have been saying
11	that ever since cigarette smoking became
12	popular. And they say the same thing about, of
13	course, alcohol. And I like to drink. I do
14	drink wine.

- Q. You said cigarettes could tell you, that was your belief at that point?
 - MR. LLUGMAN: No. He didn't say that.
- A. No. I didn't say that. I said that's what was meant by cigarettes are coffin nails.
 - Q. You didn't believe that?
 - A. No.

16

17

18 19

20

21

Q.	Why	would	you	tell	your	daughters
Q. that?						

- A. Because I didn't want them to smoke, nor did I want them to drink, nor did I want them to take drugs.
- Q. Is there a problem with 12, 13, 14 year-olds smoking?

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form. Vague, ambiguous, I'm sure Mr. Zahn's opinion on that has no relevance.

- A. There are lots of problems with teenagers engaging in habits when they are not old enough to decide properly.
- Q. And what is a decision that a teenager is not old enough to decide with respect to smoking?
- A. They are immature. They have no experience, no awareness.
 - Q. What is the decision they have to make?
- A. Oh, go talk to a sociologist, please, or a school psychologist. I know what I did with

my children. You may tell your twelve year old daughter, if you want to smoke, go ahead, if you want to drink, go ahead, you want to try marijuana, go ahead.

- Q. My question to you, though, is: What was the decision that had to be made that teenagers were not able to make?
- A. I just said because they were immature, they were teenagers, they did not have what I considered good sense. They didn't have enough life experience, to use that corny phrase.
- Q. Had you ever seen any tobacco manufacturers' studies regarding the demographics of the age of people who were smoking?
 - A. No.

1

2

3

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

- Q. Did you ever ask that question, to see such demographics?
 - A. Why would I?
 - Q. I don't know. Did you ever ask?
 - A. No.
 - MR. KLUGMAN: Just try to answer his

1	questions, best you can Mr. Zahn.
2	Q. Did you have any concern as to whether
3	or not the majority of smokers were starting at
4	an age when they were young teenagers?
5	MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to form.
6	A. I didn't know that was so, if it was
7	so.
8	Q. If it was so, would that be of concern
9	to you?
10	. MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.
11	A. You could ask me if they were not
12	eating enough good food, they didn't have clothes
13	to go to school, yeah, that would be a question
14	that would bother me. Why don't you ask me about
15	all those other things? I never thought about
16	it.
דו	Q. Well, you were representing as the
18	public relations counsel to an English Tobacco
19	Industry group?

COURT REPORTING CONCEPTS, INC.
Baltimore, Maryland
Phone (410) 821-4888 Fax (410) 821-4889

A. What do you mean English.

Q. Tobacco industry group.

20

21

A. Yes.	Α.
---------	----

Q. You gave no thought to who was smoking cigarettes at that time?

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.

A. I had nothing to do with who smoked or how they sold cigarettes or how they promoted or marketed their product.

My client was the Council for Tobacco Research. And my belief was that it was a scientific organization which did not sell cigarettes, which did not market them, had nothing to do with advertising or promotion.

- Q. If lay people were guiding the scientist's actions at the CTR in the conduct of the research program, would that --
 - A. I'm sorry.
- Q. If lay people were guiding the scientific research programs of the CTR, would that be contrary to the purpose of CTR and the reason it was set up?

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form,

particularly the use of guiding, it is totally vague and ambiguous. Also object to the hypothetical. Go ahead.

- A. You mean, you are throwing these -MR. KLUGMAN: Also lack of foundation.
 Excuse me, Mr. Zahn.
- A. -- one of these "if" questions. I can't answer that. It is like saying -- well, I don't know what. But it seems a little odd to me.
- Q. If lawyers were running CTR, would that be consistent or inconsistent with your understanding of CTR's purpose?

 $\label{eq:mr.def} \textbf{MR. KLUGMAN:} \quad \textbf{Objection to the form.}$ Vague and ambiguous.

- A. Well, to my mind, to my understanding, lawyers were not running it, so I, you know, can't answer that.
- Q. My question is: If they were running it, would that be consistent or inconsistent of your understanding of CTR's purpose?

1	MR. KLUGMAN: Excuse me, Mr. Zahn.
2	Objection to the form, vague and ambiguous. I'm
3	sorry, Mr. Zahn.
4	THE WITNESS: Shall I answer?
5	MR. KLUGMAN: Yes, I'm sorry. Go
6	ahead?
7	A. You want to repeat that, if lawyers
8	were running
9	Q. Right. CTR, would that be consistent or
10	inconsistent with your understanding of CTR's
11	purpose?
1 2	MR. KLUGMAN: Same objection.
13	A. Well, I would say that it would be
14	something that I was totally unaware of, if that
15	were the case, which I do not believe was the
16	case.

- Q. My question is whether it would be consistent or inconsistent with your understanding of CTR's purpose?
 - A. It would be inconsistent.

 MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form of

COURT REPORTING CONCEPTS, INC.
Baltimore, Maryland
Phone (410) 821-4888 Fax (410) 821-4889

17

18

19

20

21

1	the last question.
2	MR. KRISTAL: Let me show you what I
3	will mark as Exhibit 2 which is a letter from
4	Mr. Hoyt to Mr. Stevens dated February 15, 1972.
5	MR. KLUGMAN: Hold on before you show
6	it to him.
7	(Whereupon, Zahn Deposition
8	Exhibit No. 2, letter dated February 15, 1972
9	from Hoyt to Stevens, marked.)
10	MR. KLUGMAN: I guess I would ask you,
11	Mr. Kristal, is this a privileged document?
12	MR. KRISTAL: I don't know.
13	MR. KLUGMAN: Where did you get it,
14	Mr. Kristal?
15	MR. KRISTAL: I got documents I intend
16	to use from a host of sources. Some were sent to
17	me by co-counsel.
18	MR. KLUGMAN: Until the judge ruled
19	last Thursday, you knew that you couldn't use
20	privileged documents in the Ohio deposition?
21	MR. KRISTAL: Who said? Who said I
	COURT REPORTING CONCEPTS, INC. Baltimore, Maryland
	Phone (410) 821-4888 Fax (410) 821-4889

1	couldn't? Who said they were privileged? And
2	what has that got to do with today?
3	MR. KLUGMAN: What it has to do with
4	today is it would go more smoothly if we knew
5	where you got the documents from.
6	MR. KRISTAL: You asked me the
7	question. Most of the documents, I got off of
8	the Internet, both from the tobacco company's web
9	site and from, I'm sure you know, a million web
10	sites, I got some from co-counsel. I can't
11	answer the question. I'm trying to evasive.
12	MR. KLUGMAN: I understand. Off the
13	record a second.
14	VIDEO OPERATOR: Off the record, the
15	time is 10:14.
16	(Discussion off the record.)
17	MR. PADMANABHAN: I'm Ramm Padmanabhan,
18	from the law firm of Kirkland & Ellis. I'm here
19	on behalf of Brown & Williamson.
20	And I want to state for the record my

COURT REPORTING CONCEPTS, INC.
Baltimore, Maryland
Phone (410) 821-4888 Fax (410) 821-4889

understanding of the judge's order in the Ohio

21

Iron Workers case is that plaintiffs may use and ask questions about documents that are publicly available, but to which the defendants claim privilege with the understanding that, first, the witness may answer those questions and allowing the witness to answer would not be a waiver on the part of any defendant; and, second, that the documents themselves and any questioning on the documents will be placed under seal.

That's all I have. Mr. Kristal, I don't know if you have additional comments.

MR. KRISTAL: I agree with that. I guess we can hash out later who is asserting what privilege and over which defendants that the privilege occurs, but we can do that on a document-per-document basis. You follow what I am saying?

MR. PADMANABHAN: We'll try to make that clear when that comes up.

MR. KLUGMAN: While we were off the record, I determined that this document was

produced, and on that ways basis, the witness is 1 taking a look at it and you can ask questions. 2 (Discussion off the record.) 3 VIDEO OPERATOR: Back on the video 4 record, the time is 10:34. 5 THE WITNESS: I have read this, 6 Mr. Kristal. 7 Q. All right. Now, Mr. Hoyt is listed 8 here on Exhibit 2 as executive vice-president, 9 and that would be executive vice-president of 10 11 CTR, correct? Α. Yes. 12 The letter is to Mr. Stevens, who you 13 knew for many years? 14 15 Α. Yes. General counsel at Lorillard; is that 16 correct? 17 Yes. 18 Α. Okay. Now, this references a letter 19

COURT REPORTING CONCEPTS, INC.
Baltimore, Maryland
Phone (410) 821-4888 Fax (410) 821-4889

that Mr. Stevens had sent to Mr. Hoyt, which

apparently contained a reference to a Lorillard

20

21

proposal with respect to CTR funded research.

Do you see that in the first, second
paragraph?

A. Yes.

Q. Now, the next to the last paragraph is what I want to ask you a question about.

Mr. Hoyt writes to Mr. Stevens: "As regards a proposal by a tobacco company, concerning the conduct of the scientific program of the Council, we think you will agree that the records should not show anything which would imply or could be erroneously interpreted to infer that lay people are guiding the scientists' actions in the conduct of the research program."

Do you see that paragraph I just read?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Was that your understanding that there was a concern about people believing that lay people were guiding the scientists' actions in the conduct of the research program at CTR?

 \mathbf{MR}_{+} KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.

		A.	I	was	una	ware	of	any	such	concern	or
١	anv	such	mat	ter	as	rhis	ref	ers	t o		

Q. You were a member of a committee, were you not, whose purpose it was to come up with ideas for research for CTR to fund?

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.

- A. I believe so, yes.
- Q. That was called the Research Liaison Committee, correct?
 - A. Yes.

Q. Let me show you two exhibits which was just before you came on board with Hill & Knowlton and worked on the CTR account.

It is Exhibit 11,550, which is dated

December 15, 1953, and it is entitled to

background material on the digarette industry

client, and it is written by Bert C. Goss,

G-o-s-s, and let me also give you Exhibit 11,622,

which is entitled Tobacco Industry Research

Committee Confidential Report, Tobacco Industry

Research Committee meeting, October 19, 1954

Now, both of these were about a year and a half or so before, well, the first one is about a year and a half, the second one is about a year before you joined Hill & Knowlton; is that correct?

- A. I think I joined Hill & Knowlton, well, it was in 1955. Whether it was early or not, I really don't remember.
 - Q. Okay.

1 C

- A. But it was in 1955.
- Q. Now, on Exhibit 11,550, Mr. Goss writes in the beginning:

"The following information was given us by the presidents of the leading tobacco companies at the Hotel Plaza this morning."

Now, you were aware that there had been a meeting of the leading tobacco companies at the Hotel Plaza before you joined Hill & Knowlton that led to the formation of the Tobacco Industry Research Committee.

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection.

COURT REPORTING CONCEPTS, INC.
Baltimore, Maryland
Phone (410) 821-4888 Fax (410) 821-4889

A. I had no knowledge of this before I went to work there, and I don't remember being told about this when I did go to work there.

1 2

It may have happened, but I knew that they had begun talking about organizing a committee a year or so ago, before, whenever, and what the actual dates and details were, I just never knew.

I don't remember knowing. I may have known at one time, but I certainly do not now.

- Q. But it was your understanding when you began to work for Hill & Knowlton, that at some point in time, the leading tobacco companies had gotten together and formed what was then known as the TIRC?
 - A. Oh, yes. Absolutely, yes.
- Q. Did you ever work with Mr. Goss himself?
- A. Yes. He was -- I don't know what he was at this time, in 1953. He may have been executive vice-president. I think he was

president though, as I last remember him, the years that I was there, and then he became chairman after John Hill died.

- Q. When you say president and executive vice-president, you are talking of Hill & Knowlton?
 - A. Yes.

1 2

Q. On page two, under Roman Numeral III, the industry's position, let me read the last two paragraphs.

MR. KLUGMAN: I'm sorry. Page?

Q. Two, Roman numeral III, the industry's position.

"They felt that they should be sponsor a public relations campaign which is positive in nature and entirely pro cigarettes. They are confident they can supply us with comprehensive and authoritative scientific material which completely refutes the health charges."

Do you see that paragraph?

A. Yes.

COURT REPORTING CONCEPTS, INC.
Baltimore, Maryland
Phone (410) 821-4888 Fax (410) 821-4889

Q. When you came to work on the TIRC account in 1955, was it your understanding that that was the industry's position with respect to the purpose of the TIRC?

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to form.

A. In 1955, certainly, our efforts on behalf of, Hill & Knowlton's efforts on behalf of the industry, were what a public relations firm, a law firm, always calls positive.

You want to stress the positive nature of whatever information that you have, that you believe is valid and true. And you are certainly going to conduct a campaign that is in behalf of your client and not against it.

Otherwise, you would not be there long or be there at all.

Of course, you are going to put your best foot forward, and you are going to talk about it.

Q. Now, the next paragraph under "industry position" from Exhibit 11,550, reads:

"They are also emphatic in saying that the entire activity is a long-term continuing program, since they feel that the problem is one of promoting cigarettes and protecting them from these and other attacks that may be expected in the future. Each of the company presidents attending emphasized the fact that they considered the program to be a long-term one."

Was that your understanding when you were working on the account for Hill & Knowlton, that it was a long -- by understanding, I meant that it was going to be a long-term continuing program?

- A. Yeah, that was my understanding, the program was not going to last a year or two or three. It was not a slightly touched up program or a lightly brushed over program, it was going to be a deep, committed program that was going to go on for however long it had to be run.
- Q. Was it ever expressed to you, when you began working on the TIRC account or at any point

in time when you worked on either the TIRC account or when it became known as CTR, that the industry felt, and I'm paraphrasing from the second paragraph here, that the problem is one of promoting cigarettes and protecting them from these and other attacks that may be expected in the future?

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form. Go ahead and answer.

- A. . No. I was never told we were promoting cigarettes. In fact, I was told the opposite.

 We don't have anything to do with selling cigarettes.
- Q. Did you have an understanding that positions that you were -- strike that -- positions that the CTR was taking would help promote the sale of cigarettes?

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.

A. If you mean if we tried to point out what, at that time were what a lot of people thought were inconsistencies in the evidence

COURT REPORTING CONCEPTS, INC.
Baltimore, Maryland
Phone (410) 821-4888 Fax (410) 821-4889

against smoking and the limited amount of the evidence at that time, if that is promoting cigarettes, fine.

We were promoting I thought, and still do, the fact that the evidence was really very, very small in amount at the time.

Q. Okay. Now, in Exhibit 1, the press release from 1979, with the headline of the press release "tobacco research group says continued research needed to find causes of cancer and other major diseases."

Do you believe that assisted in the promotion of cigarettes?

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.

A. Mr. Kristal, I never promoted cigarette smoking. I never said, nor did Hill & Knowlton nor I when I was at Hill & Knowlton, say that smoking does not cause lung cancer or heart disease or any of this. Never did, and never believed it.

You could never say anything like that,

because it wouldn't be true. You may think, you may say, well, yeah, something like this that, in effect, tries to portray your client's position, means that you are promoting cigarettes.

4 5

My client was the Council for Tobacco Research. The Council was not in the cigarette promotion business. It did not sell cigarettes. It was not a commercial undertaking.

So I would have to say, no, we were not, the Council was not, and I was not, for the Council, promoting cigarettes.

But you could say, well, if you are promoting something positive in regard to cigarettes, then you are, in effect, promoting cigarette smoking. You may believe that.

- Q. Wasn't the CTR conducting research to build a foundation to prevent attacks on the industry?
 - MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.
- A. You mean in its research program, its sponsorship program?

Q. Yes. It was trying to build a
foundation of research to prevent attacks or
cigarette smoking; wasn't that what it was
doing?
MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to form.
A. No. It was building a not buil
it was providing research to scientists to d
research to present to provide or attain of

- A. No. It was building a -- not building, it was providing research to scientists to do research to present, to provide or attain, obtain evidence that would help the world of science, if you will, find out more about these diseases.
 - Q. How long did you know Dr. Little?
 - A. I knew him from 1955 until he died.
 - Q. Which was what year?
 - A. I don't recall. It was in the -MR. KLUGMAN: I think it is '71.
- A. -- I was going to say the early seventies.
- Q. My point was you knew him a long time, because obviously could have died in '56.
 - A. No. I knew him a long time.
 - Q. Okay.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- A. I just didn't remember. I thought it was the late sixties or early seventies, I wasn't sure.
 - Q. He was the first Scientific Director of the CTR?
 - A. Yes.

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

18

19 20

21

- Q. He was the head of the Scientific Advisory Board?
 - A. I believe at one point, yes.
- Q. Let's look at this Exhibit 11,622, which are the minutes of the meeting of the Tobacco Institute Research Committee.

You see in attendance there are a number of members of the tobacco industry, someone from American Tobacco, Brown & Williamson, various tobacco growers, Lorillard, Reynolds, do you see that?

- A. Yes.
 - Q. A couple of lawyers there?
 - A. Uh-huh.
 - Q. Right? And then Clarence, Dr. Clarence

Cook Little is listed as Scientific Director of the Scientific Advisory Board, correct?

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.

A. Yes.

- Q. And then there were three members of the firm you joined shortly thereafter, Hill & Knowlton were there, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And the second item reads: "Dr. Little was introduced. He traced the history of the Scientific Advisory Board from the time of its inception to date, described the problems it had faced and its present viewpoint. He declared that both he and the members of the board were aware of the attacks which had been made on tobacco for over 200 years and wished to build a foundation of research sufficiently strong to arrest continuing or future attacks."

Do you see that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. He never expressed that to you?

	A .	Not	in	the	sense	that	you	are	inferring
from	these	woi	ds	here	≥ .				

- Q. Okay. It says here, does it not, it attributes to Dr. Little in these minutes of the meeting, that he wished to build a foundation of research sufficiently strong to arrest continuing or future attacks. It says that, does it not?
- Dr. Little, a consummate scientist who was the head of what became known as the American Cancer Society, the man who brought the word "cancer" out of the dark corners, where people never looked at it or talked about it.

And if you want, I would be very happy to explain what he meant, if this is an exact description of what he said. I can explain what he meant by it.

- Q. Were you done?
- A. Yes.

MR. KRISTAL: I move to strike the nonresponsive portions of that answer.

	Q.	Did	you ev	er, in	your	work	for	the	TIRO
or	Counci	il for	Tobac	co Res	earch,	eve	sug	gges:	:
tha	at cert	ain s	cienti	fic re	search	be o	ione:	?	

A. Yes.

7.

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form. Go ahead.

- A. Yes.
- Q. When did you first do that?
- A. I may have done it early on, when I was very ignorant about the whole subject, although I rather doubt it, but I know that after I became a consultant of CTR with my own company, I did make recommendations for certain research.
- Q. And who did you make the recommendations to?
- A. I made recommendations to CTR itself, and I made recommendations with the Research Liaison Committee.

I can't recall the exact name. They seem to, the names seem to have changed once or twice.

And there was one point where I made a recommendation. I cannot remember the mechanism of it or whatever, but it was to Bill Smith who was the head of the, the president, chairman, whatever, of R.J. Reynolds, for a massive epidemiologic study.

- Q. Now, other than some science courses you may have taken in college, you had no other formal training in science, is that correct?
 - A. That's right.

1 2

Q. What qualified you to make research suggestions to the CTR?

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.

A. Maybe gall, maybe an inflated ego, or maybe because I thought I was beginning to learn a little bit about the overall topic from the standpoint of a few other people at CTR or perhaps even any other organization had, and that was the fact that I was attending a number of scientific meetings and getting a marvelous education.

Now, some of the projects that you 1 recommended be funded for research were not to 2 3 study science, they were to defend the industry, 4 were they not? 5

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.

I didn't look at it that way. I believe, I don't recall thinking of that, I just thought it was a way of looking at things that other people were not looking at, that related to smoking.

If I can give you an example, I would be happy to.

> Go ahead. Q.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

You may recall the Hammond/Horn American Cancer Society statistical study. Do you?

Well, a massive study sending out American Cancer Society volunteers to interview friends or relations, neighbors, et cetera, and then following these people periodically with these visits to see if they were -- well, getting

COURT REPORTING CONCEPTS, INC. Baltimore, Maryland Fax (410) 821-4889 Phone (410) 821-4888

their history of smoking, what they died of and so forth.

The first report of this study and subject of the reports also, followups, indicated that they found smoking to be related to the incidence of lung cancer, and yet a number of scientists were saying from the time the first report came out, that the study was flawed.

Aside from the fact that a study of this kind cannot prove causation, most epidemiologists and statisticians agree to that.

I know I had recommended a study, the one I had referred to before, that I proposed to Bill Smith at Reynolds, of a similar nature, but one that would try to cover all the bases that the Hammond/Horn thing did, but adding other aspects that would do away with the items that flawed the original one.

And I recall that I estimated even in those days for the study to be done properly, somebody would have to provide \$50 million. Now,

1	I don't remember how or where I got that figure.
2	Q. Now, you have no training in
3	epidemiology, correct?
4	A. None at all. I have one course given
5	by Kyler Hammond. He did that for a group of
6	scientists one year or one day or two days, I
7	don't remember.
8	Q. And how many hours was that?
9	A. Oh, I can't recall.
10	Q. And
11	A. But I am not an epidemiologist, and I
12	never had training in it.
13	Q. What epidemiologist have you spoken
14	with over the course of your career?
15	A. Probably dozens of them.
16	Q. And when you spoke to them, did you
17	ever spoke to them about the Hammond/Horn
18	report?
19	A. I may well have. I don't remember
20	specifically, probably did.
21	Q. Dr. Hammond and Dr. Horn were well

CONFIDENTIAL -- UNDER SEAL

393

																	_
(411	-	1	٦.	٠	•	ed	•	\sim	٦		-	٠	•	•	-	•	っ
	•	•	•	-	•			-	_	•	4 4	•	-	-	-	-	

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

- A. Oh, yes.
 - Q. What were their positions?
- A. Dr. Hammond, he was a Ph.D., or SED, I'm not sure about that. He was, I think, head of statistics at the Cancer Society and I think Daniel Horn was his assistant, very qualified men, yes.
- Q. And you knew how to devise a study that would correct their mistakes?
 - MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.
- A. No. Don't attribute to me your implication, please. No. I did not know.
- Q. Let me show you Exhibit 2,339 which is dated April 6, 1972. It is a memo from you to Mr. Ramm, and he was head of CTR at that point, correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. He was also a lawyer?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. And copies went to Mr. Hoyt and

- 1	1					
-	Mr	Ho	ck	e t	t	

- A. Dr. Hockett.
- Q. And the subject is "research project suggestions."

You see that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Here is what you wrote. First of all, did you write this?
- A. Yes. Obviously, it is on my stationery.
- Q. "Here are some suggestions for consideration as research projects. Several are in the nature of defense projects. They are listed because they cover subjects that have become part of the literature as well as part of the effort to convince the public that tobacco causes nearly every ailment imaginable."

Do you see that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And then you list some eight, nine different studies, you are suggesting to

1	
1	Mr. Ramm, correct?
2	A. Yes.
3	MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.
4	Q. Well, isn't that correct?
5	A. Mr. Ramm, yes. As you say, he was a
6	lawyer.
7	Q. And you were suggesting these nine
8	different areas of research be done, correct?
9	MR. KLUGMAN: Now you got it right.
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Were they ever, these subjects that you
12	were suggesting, ever brought up in front of the
13	Scientific Advisory Board?
14	A. I don't
15	MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.
16	A. I don't recall that they were.
17	Q. Were they ever
18	A. Although I may have mentioned it or
19	some of them in conversations with some of the
20	advisors. I really don't know, but I don't

COURT REPORTING CONCEPTS, INC.

Baltimore, Maryland
Phone (410) 821-4888 Fax (410) 821-4889

recall any formal presentation of this memo or

21

the subject of this memo or any part of it before the Advisory Board.

€

- Q. Do you know if these suggestions were ever made to the Committee of Counsel?
- A. I may have done it through the research group, the Research Liaison Committee, but I don't even remember that. I don't think I ever met with the Committee of Counsel. I can't recall ever having met with them when I brought this up.
- Q. And the Committee of Counsel was a group of lawyers, was it not, from the various tobacco companies, hence the name?

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.

- A. As I said, I'm familiar with the name, and that is an apt description of what it was, but I had nothing to do with that com ittee.
- Q. Okay. Did you ever ask anybody at any point in time when you were consulting to CTR what the purpose of the Committee of Counsel was?

	A. I	[don't	remember	having	done	that
--	------	---	-------	----------	--------	------	------

- Q. Did you have an understanding as to what the Committee of Counsel did with respect to research projects of CTR?
- A. I know that the Committee of Counsel had something to do with certain research projects, not CTR projects that I was aware of.
- Q. What projects were you aware of them being involved with?
- A. Well, you know, various companies were also funding research on their own.
 - Q. Uh-huh.

A. And they may have been involved in that or a cooperative effort. I don't know. I never did inquire.

Whether they had anything to do with any of the CTR contracts, cortracts, the few that were around, I don't know.

But I know that they did get involved in some contracts with some scientists, some research with some scientists.

- 1	
ı	Q. At CTR?
2	A. No. I mean research sclentists out
3	there.
4	Q. And was that to cover research for
5	litigation purposes?
6	MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to form.
7.	A. I have no idea.
В	(Whereupon, Zahn Deposition
9	Exhibit No. 3, memo from Holtzman to Millhiser
10	dated March 19, 1974, marked.)
11	Q. Let me show you what I will mark as
12	Exhibit 3, March 19, 1974, Mr. Holtzman to
13	Mr. Milhiser, subject committee to review
14	industry research.
15	MR. KLUGMAN: I don't think it is
16	privileged, but I don't know.
17	Q. While you are deciding, let me just ask
18	you questions that that I can ask independent of
19	the document.
20	MR. KLUGMAN: Don't look at it yet.
21	A. Okay.
!	COURT REPORTING CONCEPTS, INC. Baltimore, Maryland
	Phone (410) 821-4888 Fax (410) 821-4889

CONFIDENTIAL -- UNDER SEAL 399 1 Alexander Holtzman was a lawyer? Yes. 2 3 For what company? Q. Philip Morris. 5 Q. And Ross Milhiser was a lawyer? I don't know. I don't know. 6 MR. KRISTAL: Anybody asserting an 7 8 objection? 9 Okay if I ask a question? MR. KLUGMAN: No. I mean you can ask, 10 11 but I can't let him answer, unless you want to, as I discussed the possibility before, lodge the 12 privileged objection, and then upon obtaining 13 information, withdraw it. 14 MR. KRISTAL: I have no problem doing 15 that, if the information and the withdrawal comes 16 17 today, during the course of the deposition. MR. KLUGMAN: Yeah. Yeah. 18 MR. KRISTAL: I don't want to wait three 19 20 weeks. 21 MR. KLUGMAN: I don't either, because

COURT REPORTING CONCEPTS, INC. Baltimore, Maryland

Fax (410) 821-4889

Phone (410) 821-4888

we'

we'll never remember to come back to it.

Mr. Kristal has marked Exhibit 3, a document that looks like it comes from the files of Philip Morris. This is a communication from a lawyer at Philip Morris.

We are trying to determine whether Philip Morris asserts a privilege as to this document.

What we have agreed to do is that we'll object, or more properly, Mr. Padmanabhan will make the objection.

We will go ahead under the procedure that Judge Gwin has provided for, and again it is between you guys, and we will as soon as we can determine whether to withdraw those objections, based upon the position the Philip Morris lawyers may take with respect to this document.

MR. KRISTAL: I'm going to ask somebody to specifically identify who is asserting the privilege and what exactly the privilege is, so we have a clear record here, rather than we're

asserting	some	nebulous	privilege	on	behalf	o f
some unide	entif:	ed party				

3

6

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

Q. The memo reads. "This is to follow up the discussion we had returning from Washington?

MR. PADMANABHAN: Before you get to the document, let me just be clear. I will assert an objection on behalf of Philip Morris,

attorney-client and attorney work product.

MR. KRISTAL: I thought you said you were here representing Brown & Williamson.

MR. PADMANABHAN: I'm here representing Brown & Williamson.

MR. KRISTAL: Wait a minute, who are you representing at this deposition?

MR. PADMANABHAN: I represent Brown & Williamson.

MR. KRISTAL: On what basis do you assert you can assert a privilege for Philip Morris?

MR. PADMANABHAN: We are asserting a privilege on behalf of Philip Morris based on the

1	
1	assumption that they have lodged a privilege on
2	this document.
3	MR. KRISTAL: My question is what
4	standing does Brown & Williamson have to lodge an
5	objection on behalf of Philip Morris?
6	MR. KLUGMAN: Can I answer that?
7	MR. KRISTAL: Sure.
8	MR. KLUGMAN: The objection has been
9	made.
10	MR. KRISTAL: Who has made the
11	objection.
12	MR. KLUGMAN: The objection has been
13	made in various cases to the production of this
14	document.
15	MR. KRISTAL: You guys do what you feel
16	is necessary.
17	MR. KLUGMAN: I don't know the record
18	in this case.
19	MR. KRISTAL: You guys do whatever you
20	feel you should do. All I want is an assertion
21	of some particular privilege on behalf of some

particular party, and we'll hash it all out later.

MR. PADMANABHAN: Yeah, that's what we were trying to do.

MR. KRISTAL: What is your objection?

MR. PADMANABHAN: I believe that Philip

Morris may have an attorney-client privilege

objection and attorney work product objection to

the use of this document.

Pursuant to Judge Gwin's order, we cannot instruct the witness know to answer. You can ask questions about the document, with the understanding that there is no waiver and that this will be under seal.

MR. KRISTAL: Just so we don't burden the record further, if you simply make your objection, work product, attorney-client, state who is it is on behalf of, we don't need to keep repeating the protocol, because I agree and we've agreed that is the protocol. You follow what I'm saying? Because each --

[
1	MR. PADMANABHAN: If that is on the
2	record, I won't burden it any more than that.
3	MR. KRISTAL: I appreciate that. Thank
4	you.
5	MR. KLUGMAN: Let's go ahead.
6	Q. Mr. Holtzman writes to Mr. Milhiser in
7	March of 1974, that: "This is to follow up the
8	discussion we had returning from Washington last
9	Thursday. *
10	Do you see that?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. "It seems that there will be soon be
13	another Executive Committee meeting to try to
14	organize the Research Committee."
15	Do you see that?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. Now, CTR had an Executive Committee,
18	did it not?
19	MR, KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.
20	A. Well, the board, I believe, not the
21	SAB, but the board of directors.

- Q. Yes.
- A. I believe so, yes.
- Q. Mr. Holtzman suggested in the third paragraph: "I suggested it might be possible for you to accept the job, which is chair of this research committee"; do you see that?

"Without tying up too much time in the work of the committee. You could organize two working groups which would be assigned to consider the CTR and non-CTR programs and make suggestions for future course of these programs. The members of each group who are connected with CTR and TI, should serve in an advisory capacity and should not participate in the ultimate decisions of the committee or in preparing the reports of the working groups."

Do you see that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. This is the genesis of what became the Research Liaison Committee that you were on, does it not?

COURT REPORTING CONCEPTS, INC. Baltimore, Maryland

Fax (410) 821-4889

CONFIDENTIAL -- UNDER SEAL

Phone (410) 821-4888

١		ı
6		1
		1

Α. Yes.

3

2

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

And then Mr. Hughes who is a research director, correct, of one of the tobacco companies?

- A. I assume that he was that at the time.
- And Curt Judge was with Lorillard at the time?
- A. I believe he was president. I'm not sure, or head of it, chairman or whatever.
- Q. And then Mr. Holtzman is recommending another group of people to consider non-CTR research programs, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Let me show you Exhibit 4, which is a month after Exhibit 3 is written. And this is from William Smith to Mr. Ramm at the Council for Tobacco Research.

(Whereupon, Zahn Deposition Exhibit No. 4, letter from Smith to Ramm dated April 29, 1974, marked.)

MR. KRISTAL: The date is April 29,

1974.

1

2

3

4 5

6

7.

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

19

20

21

THE WITNESS: Do I look at it?

MR. KLUGMAN: Hold on.

- Q. William Smith was with RJR?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And he writes to Mr. Ramm,

MR. KLUGMAN: Excuse me. We need to determine whether it is a privileged document, and it may be difficult, because you have given us a document, like I say, that doesn't have a Bates stamp.

I guess it does. It is hard to read, but we can try to cut that down. I assume you don't know where this one comes from. If you do, let us know, it might help.

MR. KRISTAL: I cannot articulate the particular source of any of these documents.

MR. KLUGMAN: Okay.

MR. PADMANABHAN: Out of an abundance of caution then, until we determine for sure, we'll assert a privilege objection on behalf of R.J.

Reynolds.

Q. Dear Henry: "Agreement has now been reached with each of the major manufacturers."

MR. KRISTAL: Can we go off the record a second?

 $\mbox{ VIDEO OPERATOR: } \mbox{ Going off the record.}$ The time is 11:09.

(Discussion off the record.)

VIDEO OPERATOR: Go back on the record. The time is 11:10.

Q. The document reads:

"Dear Henry: Agreement has now been reached with each of the major manufacturers as to their representative who will serve on the committee to study the research programs funded by our industry, both through CTR and independent projects that are brought to us from time to time."

Do you see that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And then listed are six individuals

1	from the various tobacco companies, correct?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Now, Mr. Goldsmith, who is listed
4	representing Philip Morris, was a lawyer?
5	A. I don't know.
6	Q. Mr. Roemer, for R.J. Reynolds?
7	A. I believe he was a lawyer.
8	Q. And we have already gone through
9	Mr. Hetsko, who was a lawyer, correct?
. 0	A. Yes.
. 1	Q. Then it is written after those names:
. 2	"I have asked Dave Hardy to chair this.
.3	committee, and he has agreed to do so."
4	Do you see that?
5	A. Yes.
١6	Q. Mr. Hardy was a lawyer with the Kansas
. 7	City law firm of Shook, Hardy & Bacon?
8	A. Yes.
19	Q. Then you are listed as representing on
2 0	this committee the Council for Tobacco Research,
21	correct?

1	A. With Dr. Gardner and, I mean, I don't
2	know who wrote this yet.
3	Q. William Smith.
- 1	A. Oh, Smith. When he says I represent,
5	and then the previous letter suggesting the
6	formation of this. I am supposed to be advisory
	11

only, and maybe that is what I really was.

The fact that Smith writes I $^{\rm lm}$ a representative of CTR does not necessarily make that so.

- Q. Well, you were, you did attend these committee meetings that were reviewing research, right?
 - A. Yes.

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

Q. And the committee would make recommendations to CTR regarding what funding should or shouldn't be done?

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.

A. You know, I can't recall just what protocol of the system was that they finally ended up with, but obviously, there were some

COURT REPORTING CONCEPTS, INC.
Baltimore, Maryland
Phone (410) 821-4888 Fax (410) 821-4889

70049112

recommendations. Exactly how or the mechanism, I don't know.

- Q. But in terms of whether you were representing CTR or not on this committee, you were not there as Leonard Zahn, private citizen, correct?
- A. No. But I was there as Leonard Zahn of Leonard Zahn & Associates, a consultant to the CTR.

(Whereupon, Zahn Exhibit No. 5, letter from Hardy dated May 31, 1974, was marked for identification.)

Q. The next document is dated May 31st,
1974, another month after the last exhibit, and I
will mark that as Exhibit 5, and that is from
Mr. Hardy at Shook, Hardy & Bacon to Mr. Bates,
Goldsmith, Hetsko, Hughes, Judge and Roemer, with
a copy to yourself and others. Do you see that?

MR. KLUGMAN: Well, surprise, I got the same issue.

MR. PADMANABHAN: Mr. Kristal, we'll

assert an attorney client privilege objection on 1 behalf of Brown & Williamson, Philip Morris, Lorillard and R.J. Reynolds.

Q. Mr. Hardy writes: "Gentlemen, it was agreed at our meeting on May 21st, that the committee would meet again at 2:00 p.m. on June 24th and 2:00 p.m. on August 8. Both meetings will be held in the conference room at CTR."

Do you see that?

Yes.

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Were the meetings of the Research Liaison Committee held at the CTR office?

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.

- Mr. Kristal, I cannot swear to that. I would just have to believe they were. I don't remember where they were held exactly.
- Okay. Now, apparently, there were some assignments made in which individuals were, according to Mr. Hardy's letter, to prepare summaries on a particular topic. Do you see that in the second paragraph?

	Α.	Y	e	9
--	----	---	---	---

В

- Q. You are listed as having the assignment of the American Heart Association, and the American Lung Association research, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Did you prepare a summary of what those two groups; the research they had done on smoking?
- A. I do not remember having done so, but I undoubtedly did.
- Q. Okay. Now, the last item under the various assignments, it reads:

"Shook, Hardy & Bacon: Harvard, UCLA and Washington U. projects, Washington University projects, and Special Projects."

Do you see this?

- A. Yes.
- Q. So Mr. Hardy, his law firm at least, was assigned the task at that meeting, of giving a summary of the Harvard, UCLA and Washington University projects, correct?

1	
1	MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.
2	A. That's what this says.
3	Q. And what were called Special Projects,
4	do you see that?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Okay.
7	MR. KLUGMAN: Jerry, let me suggest,
8	you put this sticker on the copy that's got your
9	highlighting on it.
. 0	. MR. KRISTAL: So I waived my work
1	product privilege.
. 2	MR. KLUGMAN: You want to use that?
1 3	All right. Sometimes when you copy it, it comes
14	out black.
15	Q. Let me you show you Exhibit 6, two
16	months after that, another letter from Mr. Hardy
17	to this committee, including yourself,
18	Mr. Gardner, Mr. Goldsmith, Mr. Hetsko, a number
19	of other people, and it is dated July 8, 1975.
2 0	THE WITNESS: Shall I read that?
21	MR. PADMANABHAN: I'm going to assert an

objection on behalf of Brown & Williamson as well as Philip Morris, Lorillard, R.J. Reynolds, based on the attorney-client privilege.

Q. Now, Mr. Hardy --

MR. KLUGMAN: You want him to read it?

MR. KRISTAL: Yeah. Let me ask the questions, and if you need to read it while I'm doing that, you can let me know.

- Q. Mr. Hardy writes to the committee, which does include yourself, does it not?
 - A. Yes.

Q. Gentlemen: "Cy Hetsko requested that he be supplied with a brief statement of the point of the proposed animal study at Boulder, Colorado, in order that it may be considered without the other two aspects of the originally proposed genetics project."

Do you see that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Was it a purpose of this committee to review research proposals that were submitted to

- 1	
1	the Scientific Advisory Board of CTR?
2	MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form,
3	Mr. Kristal, and there is a premise in that
4	question that is totally false. I assume it is
5	made in good faith.
6	MR. KRISTAL: Please don't goach the
٦.	witness. If you have an objection, state the
8	legal basis, just say form, foundation, whatever
9	is the legal basis.
10	MR. KLUGMAN: Fine. If you want to
11	know what is wrong with the question, I won't
1 2	tell you. I think we'll do better if I try to
13	help you.
14	MR. KRISTAL: Well, if I need your help
1 5	I would be happy to ask it, I'm not bashful
16	MR. KLUGMAN: In this case you do,
17	Mr. Kristal, you are either being dishonest,
18	which I doubt, or else you just don't have the
19	information.

MR. KRISTAL: Okay.

A. It was not, as I recall, or my

COURT REPORTING CONCEPTS, INC.

Baltimore, Maryland
Phone (410) 821-4888 Fax (410) 821-4889

20

21

3

4

5

7

8

9

11

12

14

15

16

17

19

20

understanding that this committee reviewed proposals to CTR.

Q. Was it reviewing its own research considerations and then submitting it to CTR?

A. I don't know where the proposals came from, if not from the members of the committee.

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to form.

They may have come from outside sources like a Harvard or whatever. How these things work, there is no definite formula or process where something like this picks up a life of its own and starts moving along and ends up getting some money somewhere, not only in this case, but with other organizations.

- Q. I'm talking about this committee, the Research Liaison Committee.
- A. Where some of these proposals came from, I have no idea. How they got before us, I don't know. I can only speak for the ones that I heard some of these people talk about, and I don't even remember those. I'm certain only

about the ones that I devised.

Q. Now, the committee would meet and consider various research projects; is that fair to say?

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form. What was the committee doing at its meetings?

- A. I am trying to remember. I'm going back almost 24 years, 23 years, I don't remember that much. I remember I was on the committee, and the committee was involved in a research area, and I believe that we did discuss research proposals, and we may have discussed ongoing research. I don't know, and tried to assess them. I cannot recall.
- Q. Were suggestions ever made to CTR or any group within CTR, such as the Scientific Advisory Board, as to research that the committee wanted done?

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.

A. I'm not aware of that specific area. But, yes, a number of times, SAB members would

bring up ideas for research. And often this would come in connection with a discussion of a particular grant.

- Q. I'm talking about in this committee, would SAB members do that, in this committee?
- A. I didn't even know that SAB members knew about this committee, or what would come from it.
- Q. So when you said SAB members brought up proposals they wanted, I'm not talking about SAB, I'm talking about this committee, okay? Are you with me so far?
- A. I don't know if the SAB even knew about this committee.
- Q. Okay. This committee, as far as you know, what happened with its work product, what was done with research that was considered by this committee?

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.

A. Some of it may have come to life somewhere along the line, and the rest of it may

ļ ļ	
1	A. Well, it says research program.
2	Q. So the committee that you were on.
3	A. Yeah.
4	Q. Was to consider the CTR research
5	program?
6	A. Right.
7	MR. KLUGMAN: Objection. No
8	foundation. You misstated his testimony, and you
9	misstated the record. This is a proposal.
. 0	(Whereupon, Zahn Exhibit No. 6,
11	letter dated July 8, 1975 from Hardy, was marked
۱2	for identification.)
13	Q. Now, Exhibit 6, the July 8th, 1975
.4	letter, of Mr. Hardy to you and the other members
15	of the committee, copy it says here was sent to
16	the Committee of Counsel, do you see that?
17	A. That's this last one, No. 6.
18	MR. KLUGMAN: What was the question?
19	MR. KRISTAL: I'm asking if a copy,
20	according to the document, was sent to the

Committee of Counsel.

21

A. Yes. I see that, but that's all I can say.

(Whereupon, Zahn Exhibit No. 7, letter dated March 1, 1976 from Zahn to Hardy, was marked for identification.)

Q. Okay. Now, let me mark as Exhibit 7 letter from yourself to Mr. Hardy of Shook, Hardy & Bacon, dated March 1st, 1976.

Did you write this document?

- A. I obviously did. I don't recall it, but there is no doubt that I did.
- Q. And you wrote: "Dear Dave: I know a number of us are concerned about the tobacco radioactivity theory Martell and Radford have been publicizing so well recently. You may recall it was Radford (with Wilma Hunt) who first proposed the theory a dozen years ago. I feel the matter should be brought before the Research Liaison Committee at the March 25th meeting to see whether the industry should look into this area on a scientific basis."

	Do	you	see	that?
Α.	Yes	5.		

Q. Is this an example of you suggesting research that may be done by the industry?

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.

A. Well, I am certainly suggesting, as I recall the Martell Radford work, that actually ended up going nowhere.

I recall -- this is the very reason I wanted some mechanism of proposing research into a specific area to be supported. How it would be or what the mechanics would be or mechanism, I don't know.

Q. Then you continue in your letter: "I hope we can discuss and perhaps decide whether a project of some kind should be initiated. Of course, there will have to be an evaluation of the current situation."

Do you see that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Who was funding the projects that were

1	recommended by the Research Liaison Committee?
2	MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.
3	No foundation.
4	Q. Let me move back. Did the Research
5	Liaison Committee ever recommend that any project
6	ever been funded?

- A. I cannot recall.
- Q. Okay.

- A. It may be that when the committee died or before it disappeared, that recommendations were made, whether by the committee or its chairman or whatever. I don't know.
- Q. Who are the universe of groups or people that were considered for funding research?
- A. I'm sorry, I didn't hear what you said in the beginning.
- Q. Research Committee, you testified earlier one of the things they did was to come up with ideas for research for CTR to fund; is that correct?

1	MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form. I
2	don't recall that testimony.
3	Q. Well, is that a correct statement?
4	MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form. I
5	don't think that's a fair question.
6	A. I don't know whether I said CTR should
7	fund it or what. Doesn't matter. I'm locking
8	for some way of getting what I thought would be a
9	good research project under way.
10	Q. And who were the universes that you
11	were considering?
12	A. Universe, I don't know, you mean the
13	mechanism, the organization?
14	Q. Who was going to fund it? Who was
15	going to fund the research you were talking
16	about?
17	A. It would have to be somebody in the
18	industry to do it.
19	Q. And were you recommending that these
20	projects be funded by an individual company or a

group such as CTR or some other entity?

21

A. Whatever the committee could come up with. I didn't have the authority to say that, well, this should do it or that should do it.

1 2

I certainly had no way of raising the funds, but I hoped that somebody in the industry, some industry organization or entity would provide funding for projects that we thought would be worthwhile.

(Whereupon, Zahn Exhibit No. 8, memo from Goldsmith to Wakeham, was marked for identification.)

Q. Okay. Let me mark as Exhibit 8 a document by Mr. Wakeham to Mr. Goldsmith dated July 30, 1976, and it is entitled: "Comments on the meeting of the Research Liaison Committee at CTR on July 28th, 1976."

The first item that is listed here is a list of attendees at the meeting.

- A. I'm just wondering --
- Q. You can always look at the document. He can look at the document. No matter what your

	1
1	position is, he is going to be looking at the
2	document, right?
3	MR. KLUGMAN: Yes. But I think
4	Mr. Padmanabhan needs to decide whether to make
5	the objection first. You are right. He is going
6	to wind up looking at the document.
7	MR. KRISTAL: Go ahead.
8	MR. KLUGMAN: You want something to
9	laugh at, Mr. Kristal, I suggest you take a look
10	at some of the waiver arguments that have been
11	made in the last six months.
12	VIDEO OPERATOR: While you are looking
13	at the document, can I change the tape?
14	MR. KRISTAL: Sure.
15	VIDEO OPERATOR: Go off the record.
16	The time is 11:28.
17	(Discussion off the record.)
18	VIDEO OPERATOR: Back on the record,
19	the time is 11:30. This is the beginning of the
20	second videotape.
21	MR. PADMANABHAN: Mr. Kristal, I'm going

to object on the basis of the attorney-client privilege and attorney work product on behalf of defendants Brown & Williamson, Philip Morris, Reynolds, and Lorillard.

- Q. This is references Research Liaison

 Committee at CTR on July 28, 1976, does it not?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

18

19

20

21

- Q. And you were listed as being present at that time?
 - A. Yes. I am.
- Q. There were two, four, six, eight, I think there are 15 people present, if my math is correct, 16 people?
 - A. 16.
- Q. Okay. And how many of those 16 were lawyers?
 - A. Are you asking me to?
- Q. Mr. Hardy was a lawyer, right, that's one?
- A. Hardy, Hoel, Roemer, I guess Horace

 Kornegay was, Cy Hetsko, Holtzman, Ad Yeaman, I

1	don't remember Kersey or Gastman at all.
2	I guess the membership of the committee
3	kept flowing and changing and shifting depending
4	on vacations and work schedules or whatever,
5	people sending deputies or replacements. I don't
6	even remember the last two.
7	Q. Now, the second item reads:
8	"Dr. Gardner presented his recommendations for
9	handling the so-called behavioral research
10	projects."
11	Do you see that?
12	A. Yes. Number two, yes.
13	Q. And Dr. Gardner at that time was
14	Scientific Director of the Scientific Advisory
15	Board?
16	A. I don't recall, but I am sure you are
17	right.
18	Q. Well, he held a position in the CTR at
19	that point in time?
20	A. Yes. Yeah.
21	Q. With the Scientific Advisory Board?
	li

•		
-		

- Α. Yes.
- 2 3

- S
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21

The document continues: "His plan is to set up a symposium Organizing Committee for a meeting to discuss the psychopharmacology of smoking.

In this direction, they will do little on the pleasures and benefits of smoking. Hetsko vigorously opposed the program for fear that it might interact with the present FTC investigation of how cigarette companies are motivating the public to buy more digarettes."

Do you see that?

- Yes.
- Do you recall discussion at the Research Liaison Committee where various attorneys were lodging objections to proposals that were being made by members of the Scientific Advisory Board?
 - MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.
 - No. I do not.
 - The minutes do reflect that that **Q** .

- 1	
1	happened here?
2	MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.
3	A. Yes. They do.
4	Q. Now, Mr. Wakeham, who wrote this
5	document, references in his last paragraph an
6	outline that is attached.
7	Do you see that? The next to the last
8	sentence?
9	A. Let me just read that whole item number
10	three.
11	Q. Item number three is regarding the NIH
12	proposal and Mr. Hardy and Dr. Huber, right? Let
13	me read it.
14	Mr. Wakeham writes: "Other relatively
15	unimportant topics will be found in the outline
16	attached."
17	Do you see that?
18	A. Where does it say "outline attached"?
19	Q. The next to the last sentence of the
20	document.
21	A. The next to the last sentence says

other relatively unimportant topics, oh, yeah.

- Q. Right?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

- $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{+}$. Attached is an agenda for the meeting being referenced, Suly 28, 1976, correct?
 - A. Yeah.
- Q. And then there is the next document is an outline that says Research Liaison Committee at CTR, again, July 28, 1976, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And there were various, it is an outline of various topics that we discussed, and then there were various statements attributed to different people.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. If you will look on the second page, for example, under 1(c), one of the subjects was polonium 210 radioactivity, should anything be done.

And there is your name. Zahn: "Maybe we should consider a project on this topic.

Martell and Bradford have received a lot of PR on this and generated anti-tobacco propaganda."

Do you see that?

A. Yes.

- Q. Who is the we that should consider a project that you are referring to?
- A. I can't remember specifically going back all the years, but, obviously, somebody in the industry, the industry should do something about it.
- Q. Okay. Now, if you turn to page three under the behavioral research projects, Mr. Hardy, apparently, gave a historical review.

Do you see that?

- A. Yes. I am sorry.
- Q. It is written: "Decided not to have symposium proposed by Industrial Technical Committee."
 - A. Industry Technical Committee, yes.
- Q. And the Industry Technical Committee was a committee of CTR, correct?

- 1	
1	MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.
2	A. I don't think so.
3	Q. Well, the Industry Technical Committee
4	was a committee of what?
5	A. It was a committee of the Scientific
6	Directors, head of laboratories, whatever, of the
7	various tobacco companies.
8	Q. And their purpose was to advise the
9	Scientific Advisory Board on technological
0 1	matters, was it not?
11	MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.
12	A. Yes. But I don't think it was a
13	committee of CTR like a Scientific Advisory
14	Board.
15	It may have been, but I really never
16	looked at it that way. I just looked at it as a
17	committee that the various companies put
18	together.
19	Q. Okay. Mr. Hardy suggests under the
20	behavioral research projects down about
21	two-thirds of the way down:
	II

"We want to be sure to include benefits of smoking. Is that included?"

Do you see that?

A. Yes.

Q. Mr. Hetsko writes, or it is attributed to Mr. Hetsko after that: "Concerned that such a study might play into hands of FTC subpoena fishing for information, smoker motivation. We would like to see conference proposal checked out before we go ahead. This program goes beyond the Organizing Committee and should be considered by committee of counsels."

Do you see that?

A. Yes.

Q. Am I correct in understanding this, that Mr. Hetsko was expressing a concern about a CTR proposed behavioral research project?

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form. There is no reference to such a project in this document.

MR. KRISTAL: Other than it is under the

2

3

8

10

11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

heading behavioral research project.

MR. KLUGMAN: It is talking about a conference, if you read the document, sir, appears to me to be anyway.

- You see that?
- I see that it is attributed to Hetsko, a summation of his comments on this point. You can attribute whatever you went to it.
- Well, what's your understanding of what Q. he is saying there?
- I'm really not sure. I would guess in view of what he was also quoted or cited as saying before, he doesn't want anything to come up that would make the FTC feel that the industry is trying to promote the benefits of smoking.
- Now, where it reads here: "This program goes beyond the Organizing Committee and should be considered by Committee of Counsels."

Did you ask him or anybody else at the meeting what is this Committee of Counsel, what do they do?

	Α.	I	don't	recall	anything	like	that.
--	----	---	-------	--------	----------	------	-------

Q. Then Mr. Hardy is attributed to having said:

"Smoking behavior should be part of CTR program, as long as it is not pro company but is kept pro industry."

Do you see that?

A. Yes. I do.

Q. Was there a CTR program involving smoking behavior?

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to form.

- A. No. There was no program as such that I am aware of. Could he have been referring to any research project? You know how people tend to talk at meetings. That's about all I can think of.
- Q. Do you recall any CTR program or project that was supposedly to be kept proindustry?

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.

A. No. I do not.

1	Q. Mr.	Hetsko is attributed, this is
2	attributed to	him:
3	"No	problem if it is generated by
4	SAB. This is	a totally different area from

7

8

9

10

1:

12

13

14 15

16

18

19

20

21

SAB. This is a totally different area from what SAB has been dealing with. Doesn't want another book to haunt us as the one from the Caribbean caper did."

Do you know what the Caribbean caper is?

- A. No. It sounds like a James Bond movie.
- Q. Do you remember the conference in St. Marten?
- A. Now that you mention it, I know there was one there.
- Q. Did you attend the conference in St. Marten?
- A. No. I didn't know about it, as I'm remembering now long afterward, and maybe it was on the motivation, smoker motivation, but I'm really not sure.
 - Q. And the conference was one sponsored by

COURT REPORTING CONCEPTS, INC.
Baltimore, Maryland
Phone (410) 821-4888 Fax (410) 821-4889

70049140

CONFIDENTIAL -- UNDER SEAL

440

A I don't know.

3

5

6 7

8

9

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

- Q. In St. Marten?
- A. I don't know. I really don't know. I heard about it long afterward, and never saw anything about it.
- Q. Now, if you will look on the next page, Mr. Yeaman, there is a statement attributed to him. Now, Addison Yeaman at that time was the head of the CTR, correct?
 - A. I have to take your word for it.
 - Q. He replaced Mr. Ramm, did he not?
 - A. Yes. He succeeded him.
- Q. And Mr. Yeaman, what is attributed to him is the following:

"We take our direction from our members, the industry members. CTR so far is clean of FTC investigation, except possibly for the St. Marten conference."

Do you see that?

A. Yeah, but that's not a quote from him

1	directly. It is just a quote from this summation
2	of what Wakeham thought he heard Yeaman say.
3	Q. Okay.
4	A. Okay.
5	Q. Do you have an independent recollection
6	of this meeting and what Yeaman may have said?
7	A. Not at all.
8	Q. Do you have any reason to believe that
9	Mr. Wakeham incorrectly attributed that statement
10	to Mr. Yeaman?
11	A. I have no idea.
12	Q. Do you agree or disagree that CTR takes
13	its direction from the industry members?
14	MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.
15	A. I don't know what Yeaman means by
16	"direction."
17	Q. Okay. Do you agree or disagree that
18	the CTR took direction from industry lawyers?
19	MR. PADMANABHAN: Are you talking about

the research program or talking about the CTR

generally, its administration?

20

21

1	MR. KRISTAL: Let's start generally.
2	MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.
3	A. Generally, no. Specifically in regard
4	to the SAB's program, no, definitely no.
5	Q. Now, Mr. Hetsko, it is attributed to
6	him:
7.	"Decision for actions should be made
8	by lawyers, not CTR or Organizing Committee."
9	Do you see that?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Was the decision for this behavioral
12	research project made by the lawyers and not CTR .
13	or the Organizing Committee?
14	MR. KLUGMAN: Same objection. It is
15	clear from the document they are not talking
16	about a project.
17	A. Well, the meering hasn't even been held
18	yet.
19	Q. That's correct, and they were trying to
20	decide, were they not, whether to have the
21	meeting?

A. I guess. Yes.

- Q. And Mr. Hetsko, one of the lawyers, said that the decision for the CTR meeting should be made by the lawyers, whether or not to have it, right?
- A. That's what he says, yes. And then he gives his reasons. I believe, I haven't finished reading this, as to why he made that suggestion. I think that's why he started saying this.
- Q. Well, he goes on to say:

 "Chronologically this meeting might be occurring just at a time that some of these experts are also being questioned by, he wrote TTC, I assume that's FTC, about motivation. This convergence might result in intensification of the conflict. Suggest Dr. Gardner present his program for review by all the lawyers. No records of such a review are to be kept."

Do you see that?

- A. Yes
- Q. So he was concerned that somehow the

FTC would be questioning the people at this CTR behavioral project meeting, correct?

 $\label{eq:mr.klugman:objection.No} \mbox{\sc foundation} \ . \ \ \mbox{\sc No}$

2:

- Q. Isn't that what he says here?

 MR. KLUGMAN: He doesn't say anything here, sir.
- A. I don't know what he says here, but I am just wondering if this was going to be a public meeting in the sense that it was going to be a scientific meeting.

And when you invite a bunch of scientists to a meeting, they can publish, they can report, they can call their local newspaper and whatever, their university or their affiliation can issue a press release to get some attention to him and to his work in the institution, it happens every day.

MR. KRISTAL: I move to strike the non-responsive portions of that answer.

THE WITNESS: You are asking me to

attribute to Hetsko what I am not sure about. I am just giving you a possibility of how these things worked.

MR. KRISTAL: That's why I moved to strike the answer.

THE WITNESS: Your privilege.

Q. Now, where it says here: "No records of such review are to be kept."

Now, you were at this meeting, right?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

5

6 7.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.6

17

18

19

20

Q. Did you ask anybody why no records of a meeting that Dr. Gardner would have with the lawyers presenting his program for review should not be recorded anywhere?

 $\label{eq:mr.klugman:objection.Misstates} \textbf{evidence}.$

A. I don't recall ever having done that.

I don't recall Hetsko talking about this. I

certainly don't remember his saying anything as

specific as no records of such a review should be

kept, ought to be kept.

COURT REPORTING CONCEPTS, INC.
Baltimore, Maryland
Phone (410) 821-4888 Fax (410) 821-4839

70049146

- Q. Do you have any reason to believe that Mr. Wakeham did not get that correctly?
 - A. I have no idea.
 - Q. Now, on the last page, it is suggested that there be some sort of Meeting Information Coordinating Board for the lawyers and PR people to have some sort of exchange of information. Do you see that?
 - A. You mean under "other"?
 - Q. Yes.

2

3

4 5

6

8

9

10

11

17 18

19

20

- A. Yes. A.
- Q. Mr. Hardy, you thought it would be helpful to have some sort of exchange of reports between yourself, Mr. Hardy, the Tobacco Institute and Mr. Jacob regarding different meetings that you attended, correct?
 - A. Apparently, yes. I don't remember that. But I had been doing that anyway.
 - Q. And Mr. Jacobs was another lawyer representing CTR?
 - A. Jacob.

]	Q.	Jacob
1		

4 5

- A. There is no S on it really. Apparently, yes.
- Q. Were you ever a member of this Meeting Information Coordinating Board?
 - A. I don't even remember it __
- Q. Now, then, there is also under the topic "other": "Shingleton proposal from Duke Medical School. Kornegay: Historical background. Hardy: Shingleton has made unfriendly statements about tobacco. Committee decided to turn down request, but could not agree on how to say no without embarrassing Kornegay."

Do you see that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Who was Dr. Shingleton making his proposal from Duke Medical School to?
- A. I have no idea. I can't recall this at all. I have never heard of a Shingleton. I don't know that he is a doctor. I will take your word he is with Duke Medical.

- 1	Q. But that would, a proposal from
2	Shingleton to Duke Medical School apparently was
3	made at this meeting, correct, there was a
4	discussion about his proposal?

- A. I can't say, I just don't remember. I am assuming it was.
- Q. And the committee, according to this, turned down the request, correct?
 - A. That's what this says.
- Q. Okay. Was research proposals turned down because the person proposing the research had made unfriendly statements about tobacco?

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.

A. I have no idea.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

Q. Let me give you Exhibit 10,165, which is dated April 21st, 1978. It is two handwritten pages, it is my understanding was written by Mr. Judge from Lorillard and up at the top it says scientific Research Liaison Committee.

The document reads: "(1) We have again abdicated the scientific research directional

management of the industry to the lawyers with virtually no involvement on the part of scientific or business management side of the business."

Do you see that?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

- Q. Do you agree or disagree with that?
 MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.
- A. I don't agree, if you take the word "abdicated" in quotes literally.
- Q. Okay. If you took it nonliterally, would you agree with it?

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.

- A. No. I based my answer on the fact that I attended many meetings of the Scientific Advisory Board, and no lawyer was going to tell them what to do with the research program.

 Believe me, no way. And no lawyer ever did.
- Q. Told them what research to do or not do?
 - A. Absolutely, or what to recommend be

done or what so support or not support.

- Q. Don't you remember the April 21, 1978 meeting, of the Scientific Advisory Board which is the same date as this, at which you were present?
 - A. No. I don't.

Q. Do you remember Mr. Jacob telling the Scientific Advisory Board that they could not do research until the central nervous system research?

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection.

- A. Oh, I remember that Jacob was, at that meeting, although I did not know until the date had been clarified by someone else asking methat.
 - Q. Right. That was last time.
- A. And I do remember -- about all I do remember is that Jacob spoke about the problems involved in supporting research that had a commercial aspect to it.
 - Q. Okay. And told the SAB board, in no

uncertain terms, that that research was not going to be funded, correct?

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.

- A. I don't know why you say 'no uncertain terms" or how you know that's the way he spoke, unless he told you that. I don't remember how he put it, but I know he advised them not to support research that had commercial implications.
- Q. And that research was not supported, correct?

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.

A. I don't recall.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

q

10

11

13

14

15

17

18

19 20

21

 $\mbox{MR. KLUGMAN: Lack of foundation. Go} \label{eq:main_condition} \mbox{ ahead.} \label{eq:main_condition}$

A. I don't recall. When you introduce this as being by whom, by Curt Judge, the same day as the SAB meeting, he was not at this meeting.

MR. KLUGMAN: I don't think Mr. Kristal is correct that it is the same day, but it is the same month.

THE WITNESS: I don't remember him being at a meeting ever.

 $$\operatorname{MR}$$. KRISTAL: Move to strike the gratuitous comments.

- Q. Item two on this document, 10,165, reads: "Lorillard's management is opposed to the total industry future being in the hands of the Committee of Counsel. It is reminiscent of the late 1960's when Ramm's group ran the TI, CTR and everything else involved with the industry's public posture." Do you see that?
 - A. Yes.

В

Q. Do you agree or disagree that in the late 1960's, Ramm's group right the TI, CTR and everything else involved with the industry's public policy?

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.

A. I have never heard of Ramm's group,

Henry Ramm's group. I didn't know there was such
a group. I have never heard of anything related
to what is said here. I don't recall every

1	hearing about it.
2	Q. Now, you knew Tom Hoyt from the very
}	
3	first days that you began to work at Hill &
4	Knowlton, correct?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. And at that time, he was the Chief
7	Administrative Officer of TIRC, correct?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. We are talking now about 1955?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. He was formerly with Hill & Knowlton,
12	correct?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. And I take it Hoyt trusted you?
15	MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.
16	Q. Was that your understanding?
17	A. I would hope so.
18	Q. And, in fact, I think you have
19	testified, let me strike that portion.
20	Is it correct that it was Mr. Hoyt in
21	1969 who asked you to come to work directly for

CTR?

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- A. Yes. Mr. Hoyt and Mr. Ramm.
- Q. Now, do you know what the Special
 Projects are? You have heard that term before?
 - A. I have heard it.
 - Q. You have no knowledge of what the Special Projects were about?

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form. He has been asked about this, and he testified about it the last day, which I understand is a part of the deposition.

- Q. Is that correct?

 MR. KLUGMAN: Objection.
 - A. Generally, yes.
- Q. And you at one point in time asked Mr. Hoyt what the Special Projects were?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And he told you it was none of your business, correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. When was that, do you recall?

-- well,

1	A. When I first heard of it, of them, I
2	can't remember when that was.
3	Q. What did you take that to mean well
4	strike that.
5	Did Mr. Hoyt ever tell you in response
6	to any of your other questions that it was none
7.	of your business, with respect to CTR and the
8	work you were doing for CTR?
9	A. I don't believe so. Whether he put it
10	that way, I don't know, or if it was just words
11	to that effect. I don't know. I don't recall.
12	Q. Did you ever ask him at any other time
13	after that first time what the Special Projects
14	were?

No.

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

- Did you ever ask anybody else what the Special Projects were?
- I may have. I may have asked somebody on staff. I just can't remember.
- Q. Well, whoever you asked, if you did ask, nobody told you what they were, fair to

1 say?

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.

A. No, but I -- sorry.

MR. KLUGMAN: Go ahead.

A. But I did get to know generally what they were, I think I did.

Q. What were they?

A. They were just what the name implied, projects that the Council somehow or maybe even the lawyers, somebody in the industry, was funding, and because they were in certain specific special areas, or specific areas.

Q. Okay. And was it your understanding that these projects were being funded through the CTR as a front?

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.

A. Well, the way you say front it implies something wrong or bad. I don't know how they were funded. I mean or who handled the administrative part of whatever was involved. I really don't know. I could -- well, I certainly

shouldn't guess.

(Whereupon, Zahn Exhibit No. 9, minutes dated January 29, 1971, was marked for identification.)

Q. Let me show you what I will mark as Exhibit 9, which are minutes of the first annual meeting of members January 29, 1971, and it is the minutes of the CTR.

MR. KLUGMAN: Any particular part you want him to look at?

MR. KRISTAL: I thought you were reviewing it for an objection. I do have questions about it.

MR. KLUGMAN: No. No. No.

Q. First of all, if you will look at the second page, many people were present at this meeting, correct, you were present, you are listed in the middle of the list on the second page?

A. I guess I was. I don't remember. I am just looking at the spelling of the first name of

the last individual cited on page one, LeBaun, it
DeBaun, D-e, capital D, small E. That's a
feminine.

- Q. You were present at this meeting?
- A. That's what the list says.
- Q. If you will look at page four, I realize this was a long time ago.
 - A. Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

- Q. Apparently you were introduced by the chairman of CTR, and you gave a description of various work you had been doing, see at the bottom of page four?
 - A. Oh, yes.
- Q. . All right. Fair to say then, you were at the meeting?
 - A. Yes. Yes.
- Q. Now, if you look at page three, it reads: "The chairman explained that the board of directors had approved as a special project the operation of an information and retrieval system relating to medical literature concerned with

tobacco use and health. The expenses thereof to be borne by the participating companies as provided in an agreement with the corporation.

It was unanimously moved and approved that this special project would be named "information systems."

Do you see that?

A. Yes.

- Q. Now, it was not the normal funding procedure for the individual companies to fund projects, as they come up. Correct?
 - A. I have no idea.
- Q. Well, with all your work with the CTE, is it your understanding that there was a budget that was approved, that the Scientific Advisory Board was operating under?
- A. Yes. But that budget was approved at an Executive Committee meeting of the board at the time of the annual meeting. There may have been similar meetings, when there was not a board meeting, and I never attended those.

Q.	But	the r	egular	projects	were	funded
Q. out of t	he CTR	budg	et, co	rrect?		

- A. Oh, yes, absolutely, yes.
- Q. So it would not be normal or regular procedure to have the participating companies have a separate agreement with the corporation as to funding of Special Projects?

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.

- A. I would suppose so.
- Q. And then the document continues at this annual meeting: "The chairman further explained that the corporation will continue other Special Projects relating to research or investigation relative to tobacco use and health and may undertake new Special Projects for such purpose. The expenses pertaining to such projects to be borne by the participating companies."

Do you see that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. If you look at in the back, attached to this, were the financial reports of CTR, for the

1 year ending December 31st, 1970.
2 Do you see that?
3 A. Yes.

- Q. Now, on the second page of the financial statements, you have it right there, the one you just passed. Sir, let me help you get to that. The Bates number 272.
 - A. This one or the next one?
 - Q. The one before that.
 - A. Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

- Q. The accountant is describing the various documents that are part of the financial. report, correct?
 - A. Apparently.
- Q. And Exhibit A is a balance sheet which shows assets and liabilities of the general fund and the Special Projects funds. Do you see that?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And if you look at the next page, down at the bottom, this is the balance sheet, dated

December 31st, 1970, there was listed Special Projects fund.

Do you see that?

A. Yes.

Q. Were you aware at any point in time, that you were involved with CTR, that there was special funding for the Special Projects?

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.

- A. I may well have been. Maybe that's when I asked Hoyt about it. I just don't remember. It certainly didn't mean, apparently didn't mean much to me. I did not pursue it with him.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. If this was, indeed, the reason why I did ask you.
- Q. Now, if you will look at the next-to-the-last page of the financial statements, where it says "notes to financial statements"?
 - A. Yes.

Q. The fifth note is: "The Special Projects are financed by the cigarette manufacturing corporate members together with a cigarette manufacturing company that is not a member.

Such participants are entitled to any unexpended funds received for Special Projects and are liable for any accumulated deficit."

Did you ever inquire as to why the financing for the Special Projects was conducted in this manner?

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.

- A. I don't even recall this. I certainly don't recall asking anybody about it.
- Q. Can you think of any reason, as you sit here today, based on your knowledge of CTR, as to why cigaratte manufacturer, corporate members and a cigarette manufacturing company that was not a member would have Special Projects funded by them going through CTR?
 - A. No. I saw no reason. Well, no, I

1 never did.

MR. KRISTAL: Let me show you

Exhibit 10, which is dated January 3rd, 1966. It

is from Addison Yeaman to Messrs. Haas, Hetsko,

Ramm, Russell and Smith.

(Whereupon, Zahn Deposition Exhibit No. 10, memo dated January 3, 1966 from Yeaman, marked.)

MR. PADMANABHAN: We'll assert an attorney-client privilege AND attorney work product claim on this document on behalf of Brown & Williamson, R.J. Reynolds, Philip Morris and Lorillard.

MR. KLUGMAN: Exhibit 10?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

Q. Now, Exhibit 10, Mr. Yeaman writes:

If you find any needed corrections or changes
in the enclosed, please note them at meeting of
counsel on Thursday, January 6," correct?

And then he attaches a document

entitled "meeting of general counsel on December

17th, 1965. Pursuant to request from general counsel of each of the six participating companies, the Ad Hoc Committee submitted seven proposals for specific research projects which, in their view, deserved immediate implementation."

Do you see that?

A. Yes.

4 5

- Q. Were you aware that general counsel was making requests of an Ad Hcc Committee for research proposals to be submitted?
- A. You know, I --
 - MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.
 - A. No. I was not, if such was the case.

 Also, if I may make a point, I was at Hill &

 Knowlton at this time, and I am not sure that CTR

 was a client of Hill & Knowlton at the time.

Regardless, I don't recall this or anything related to it whatsoever.

Q. Okay. And then Mr. Yeaman, let me back up a minute, all of the individuals, both the

author and the recipients of this document, were lawyers, correct?

A. I don't recall who Russell is, and I don't know if, I know I remember Fred Haas was with Liggett & Meyers and Hetsko, American, and Ramm with R.J. Reynolds.

I don't remember Russell. Oh, was that John Russell? He was with a law firm that represented -- I don't know who Smith was, unless it was William Smith of Reynolds. I don't know if he was a lawyer. I know he was a chief executive officer, either president or chairman at one point.

So I can't really speak for the last two, unless somebody jogs my memory a bit.

- Q. Now, under the item I just read, regarding the proposed research, there are six, seven different research items, correct?
 - A. Yes.

1 2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

16 17

18

19

20

21

Q. Now, under the first one, from some institute in Italy, correct? You see that?

ļ	ł			
ł	1			
ł	ł			

1.1

Q. And then under action, with respect to that research proposal, it reads: "Action: To be submitted to SAB, CTR; if not approved, the project will be carried out by CTR under its Special Projects."

Do you see this?

A. Yes.

Yes.

Α.

Q. Were you ever aware at any point in time, that there were projects, that if they were not approved by the Scientific Advisory Board of CTR, they would nonetheless be carried out by CTR under the Special Projects?

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form, particularly the portion "carried out by CTR." Go ahead.

A. No. This would, is not done the way this says, regardless of who authored it. I mean if it were going to the SAB, the scientists involved would have to fill out a CTR application.

Q .	Right. A	and this	says that	with respect
to that	project, th	at was	recommended	by the
general	counsel, th	nat if t	he SAB, the	Scientific
Advisor	y Board, did	not ap	prove that	research,
what wo	uld happen,	accordi	ng to this	document?

- A. I don't know what would happen.
- Q. Well, what does the document say would happen?
- A. Well, it says it would be done under Special Projects.
 - Q. By whom?
 - A. By CTR.

Q. Now, if you look at the third page. In the middle of the page, after these seven different projects and the various actions that were taken on these, it reads:

"The Ad Hoc Committee submitted its priorities selected from the recommendations for specific research presented to general counsel at an earlier meeting. References hereinafter will appear from those proposals. The Ad Hoc

Committee divided the proposals referred to into three categories. Category A, projects essentially of adversary value. These are considered to have a relatively high priority. Category B, research having a generally defensive character. Category C, basic research."

Do you see that?

A. Yes.

Q. Did your committee ever prioritize research in any manner?

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection. Is this the Research Liaison Committee you are talking about?

MR. KRISTAL: Yes.

MR. KLUGMAN: I don't know that that is Mr. Zahn's committee, but with that clarification, you can go ahead, Mr. Zahn.

- A. I don't recall.
- Q. The document continues: "Detailed consideration was given to the following projects under category A. There follows my notes of the

action taken."
Now, category A were projects which
were essentially of adversary value, do you see
that?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you have an understanding that that
was for purposes of defending litigation?
A. I have no
MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.
A I have no idea.
Q. If CTR was conducting research that
had, as its purpose, assisting the lawyers for
the tobacco industry in defending litigation, in
your opinion, is that appropriate or
inappropriate?
MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.
No foundation, hypothetical, vague and ambiguous,
argumentative.
A. It is not for me to say what is

COURT REPORTING CONCEPTS, INC.
Baltimore, Maryland
Phone (410) 821-4888 Fax (410) 821-4889

appropriate or not appropriate. If CTR wants to

do this, and doesn't ask me, I have nothing to

20

21

1	s a	У	a	bo	u	t	t	h	a	t

- Q. Would it be consistent or inconsistent with your understanding of the purpose for CTR?
 - A. It is not inconsistent with it at all.
- Q. Okay. The purpose of CTR, in your opinion, was to conduct impartial research on various health related issues?

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.

- A. Yes.
- Q. And in your opinion, if CTR was doing research, which was designed to assist tobacco industry lawyers in defending litigation, that is consistent with the basic primary purpose?

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.

- A. If the research is done by a recognized scientist, a legitimate scientist, at a legitimate institution, and the scientist puts his name to the findings, what's wrong with that?
- Q. Okay. Did you ever hear at any point in time that you were working for CTR that part

of the Special Projects were designed to develop witnesses for the tobacco industry in 3 litigation?

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.

- As I have told you, I believe, several times, I was aware of Special Projects, but I really, I know who did some of them, but I had no recollection of anything on that point whatsoever.
- Q. And then the various projects that had been previously submitted, according to this memo, various actions were taken. For example, the first project, "approve for handling by CTR Special Projects."
 - Α. Yes.

1

2

4

5

6

7.

В 9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. Did CTR approve that? MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form. Lack of foundation.
- I don't even know which project that is, and I just could not say.
 - Do you know in the CTR Special Projects Q.

COURT REPORTING CONCEPTS, INC. Baltimore, Maryland Fax (410) 821-4889 Phone (410) 821-4888

were	approved	рÀ	CTR	or	approved	рÀ	some	other
group	?							

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.

MR. PADMANABHAN: Objection.

A. I have no idea.

(Whereupon, Zahn Deposition Exhibit No. 11, memo to file from R. B. Seligman dated November 17, 1978, marked.)

Q. Let me show you Exhibit 11, which is dated November 17, 1978, from Mr. Seligman to CTR file. Subject meeting in New York, November 15, 1978.

MR. PADMANABHAN: I know Brown & Williamson, Philip Morris, Lorillard and R.J. Reynolds have asserted claims of attorney-client privilege to this document and would object to it on that basis, to all questions relating to this document.

Q. The memo references a meeting between general counsel of Brown & Williamson,

Mr. Pepples, the general counsel of R.J.

Reynolds, Mr. Tucker, Mr. Finnegan, Tim Finnegan retained counsel, Bill Shinn of Shook, Hardy & Bacon, Arnold Henson, general counsel of American Tobacco, Janet Brown, retained counsel CTR, Wally Hughes, vice-president of Brown & Williamson, Alex Spears, vice-president of Lorillard, Jim Bowling, senior vice-president corporate affairs Philip Morris, Bob Seligman, vice-president Research and Development, Philip Morris, Tom Osdene, Director of Research, Philip Morris.

Is that correct? Did I read that accurately?

A. Yes.

Q.. And you have known all of these people for many years?

 $$\operatorname{MR}$$. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form. Look through them.

A. I knew some of them for many years.

Some I did not know. I knew Henson for a while.

I think I did. But Seligman, I think I last time

I was asked about him, I couldn't recall who he

was.

2.0

Q. Okay.

A. But I do remember, I remembered afterwards, because he lives or did live at the time or at one time here in Great Neck.

Q. Now, November, 1978, was at a time when you, Leonard Zahn Associates, was consulting with CTR in a public relations capacity.

 $$\operatorname{MR}$.$ KLUGMAN: Got to wait until he finishes.

A. Yes.

Q. Document reads: "At the outside Arnold Henderson reminded all the participants of the meeting that there should be no written record of what transpired for distribution. It was perfectly all right to keep notes, which you would keep in your own personal file. The current meeting was called to help an ad hoc committee selected by the chief executives of the tobacco industry do long-range policy planning in regard to smoking and health."

1	Were you aware that there had been an
-	were you aware that there had been an
2	Ad Hoc Committee selected by the chief executives
3	of the tobacco industry to do long-range policy
4	planning in regard to smoking and health?
5	MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.
6	A. I have heard the name, I think, of Ad
7	Hoc Committee. Whether it was was it a
8	research committee or a legal committee, I just
9	don't know. I just don't recall.
10	Q. Did you ever ask anybody what is this
11	Ad Hoc Committee?
12	MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.
13	Is there some suggestion here that he was aware
14	of it?
15	MR KRISTAL: I thought he just said he
16	was aware of it.
17	MR. KLUGMAN: Not the one in the
18	document, the one he testified about it.
19	Q. You have heard in your work for CTR of

COURT REPORTING CONCEPTS, INC.
Baltimore, Maryland

Mr. Kristal, I don't know if I did or

Phone (410) 821-4888 Fax (410) 821-4889

an Ad Hoc Committee, correct?

20

21

did not.

q

MR. KLUGMAN: I misunderstood.

THE WITNESS: Maybe I did or was told, but I just don't remember.

Q. The document continues: "On the Ad Hoc Committee are representatives of all legal, public relations -- strike that. Let me start again.

"On the Ad Hoc Committee are representatives of legal, public relations and research executives of various companies. Any long-range plans which are developed are to be made known to the individual companies through their chief counsel. The Ad Hoc Committee is to consider policy questions in general and, particularly, grants, contracts, the fate of CTR, et cetera."

Were you aware of any committee comprised of the legal, public relations and research executives of the various companies that were considering policy questions with respect to

l	
1	grants and contracts and the fate of CTR?
2	A. There may have been. I may have been a
3	member of it, or advisory to it or representing
4	CTR. I just do not recall.
5	Q. The document continues:
6	<u>-</u>
7	
8	REDACTED
9	
. 0	
. 1	Do you see that?
2	A. Yes. Excuse me.
. 3	Q. Was it your understanding that TIRC had
. 4	been set up in 1954 as an industry shield?
. 5	Composition to the form.
. 6	Lack of foundation, vague, ambiguous,
. 7	argumentative.
. 8	A. I don't recall I ever used the word
9	"shield."
2 0	Q. I'm asking you if it was your
21	understanding that that was the purpose for which
	COURT REPORTING CONCEPTS, INC. Baltimore, Maryland
	Phone (410) 821-4888 Fax (410) 821-4889

	CONFIDENTIAL UNDER SEAL
	• / •
1	it was set up?
2	A. No.
3	Q. You know Bill Shinn, right?
4	A. Yes
5	Q. He was an attorney?
6	A. Yes
7	Q. Did you ever ask him about the history
8	of CTR?
9	A. I thought I knew the general history of
10	it. Why would I ask him? No. I did not. I'm
11	note trying to be flip.
12	Q. The document continues:
13	
14	; ; 1
15	REDACTED
16	
17	
18	
19	Were you aware that CTR had served that
20	function?
21	MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.
	COURT REPORTING CONCEPTS, INC. Baltimore, Maryland
	Phone (410) 821-4888 Fax (410) 821-4889

- 11	
1	A. No. No, I am not.
2	Q. Did anybody ever discuss that with you?
3	A. No, but I knew that help, if you want
4	to call it that, was given. I remember Charlie
5	Sommers, Dr. Sommers, appearing before committee
6	hearings, at committee hearings in Washington,
7	Congressional committees, and things of that
8	kind.
9	I was aware of that in regard to
0	certain legislation. I am really not sure what
1	specific matters brought him there, but he did go
. 2	there. That I know.
١3	Q. Were you aware that CTR had helped give
4	legal counsel advice and technical information
1 5	needed at court trials?
16	MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.
17	A. I don't know what that really means.
18	advice and technical information.
19	Did a lawyer at a trial call
2.0	Dr. Sommers and ask him something in a medical

COURT REPORTING CONCEPTS, INC.
Baltimore, Maryland
Phone (410) 821-4888 Fax (410) 821-4889

area, you know, to get an opinion, I don't know.

j	
1	I don't know.
2	Well, I do, yes. Dr. Little did
3	testify at a trial in New Orleans, I believe.
4	Did Hockett or some I just don't
5	remember that. But I do remember Dr. Little
6	testifying.
7	Q. Okay. The document continues:
8	
9	REDACTED
10	That you are familiar with?
11	A. Yes
12	Q.
13	REDACTED
14	Would you agree or disagree with that?
15	MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.
16	A. Did grantees, did some of the grantees
17	testify? That probably did happen.
18	Q. That's not the question I'm asking.
19	Did the money spent at CTR provide a base for
20	production of witnesses?
21	MR. KLUGMAN: Objection.
	COURT REPORTING CONCEPTS, INC. Baltimore, Maryland
	Phone (410) 821-4888 Fax (410) 821-4859

	CONFIDENTIAL UNDER SEAL 482
1	MR. PADMANABHAN: Objection. Asked and
2	answered.
3	A. I don't know what that means.
4	Introduction of witnesses to whom?
5	Q. If you don't know what it means, then
6	I'll move on. Is that your answer?
7	A. I'm not sure what, you know, what it
8	does mean.
9	Q. The document continues:
10	PED ACTED
11	REDACTED
12	
13	Do you see that?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. That's inappropriate, isn't it?
16	MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.
17	A. That is what?
18	Q. Inappropriate.
19	MR. KLUGMAN: Objection.
20	A. I would not use that term.
21	Q. What term would you use?
	COURT REPORTING CONCEPTS, INC. Baltimore, Maryland
	Phone (410) 821-4888 Fax (410) 821-4889

Fax (410) 821-4889

Phone (410) 821-4888

correctly, there have been published scientific reports with the source being printed by the author as resulting from a special project from CTR.

I may be wrong in that, but I think I do remember some things like that. They may even have gone, they would have gone in the annual report, because they credited CTR with support.

MR. KRISTAL: Move to strike the nonresponsive portions of that answer.

The document continues:

12

1

2

3

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

REDACTED

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21 Do you see that?

Did you ever have any discussions with CTR regarding their reluctance to serve in the capacity as a front?

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.

Never was aware that it served as a

1	front,	mу	understanding	of	the	term.
---	--------	----	---------------	----	-----	-------

Q. Okay. Now, so if I understand what you are saying is: You don't know if it did serve as a front or if it didn't serve as a front. You are unaware one way or the other.

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.

MR. PADMANABHAN: Objection.

MR. KRISTAL: Is that fair to say?

A. Yes. That is true. I will not argue the semantics of the word "front", the meaning.

Q. If you look on the next page of the document, it continues:

13

2

3

4 5

6 7.

8

9

10

1:

12

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

REDACTED

Was that ever discussed in your
presence?

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.

- 1	ļ										
1	1	A .	No.	But	I	seem	to	be	living	it	right
2	now.										
3		Q.	Doing	g qui	. t e	a f	ine	jol	o .		
4		A .	Than	you	1.						
5		Q.									

REDACTED

7

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Now, that was the function that you served for CTR, was it not, public relations?

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.

- A. I was a public relations consultant to the Council, yes.
- Q. Was there any other public relations consultant to the Council after 1969 until you retired in 1994, other than Leonard Zahn & Associates?
- A. Not that I know of. But, of course, you rething that every member of a client is a public relations expert.
 - Q. The document reads: REDACTED

1	487
1	
. 18	
2	
3	
4	
5	
REDACTED	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
Now, you would agree at that	time
frame, this is shortly before you issu	e d
Exhibit 1, which was the press release	, that it
was the CTR's position that it did not	agree that
16 the case against smoking was closed, c	orrect?
A. Yes.	
18 Q.	
nedacted Redacted	
20	
21	
	_
COURT REPORTING CONCEPTS, IN Baltimore, Maryland	C .

1	
_	

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

REDACTED

A. Yes.

Q. Was that your understanding, that there was a CTR basket which had to be maintained for public relations purposes?

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.

- A. I'm not sure what he means by a basket and again as I said a moment ago, everybody is a public relations expert.
- Q. Did you ever discuss that with Mr. Shinn?
 - A. I don't think so. I don't recall ever having done so.

(Whereupon, Zahn Exhibit No. 12, notes of meeting of Committee of General Counsel dated September 10, 1981, was marked for identification.)

MR. KRISTAL: I give you Exhibit 12, which is a document dated September 10, 1981.

THE WITNESS: It is 12:30.

COURT REPORTING CONCEPTS, INC.

Baltimore, Maryland

Phone (410) 821-4888 Fax (410) 821-4889

	MR. KLUGMAN:	I was going to say,
Jerry, if	we can take a	a look at it. Ramm can do
what we ca	an do what we	have to do. I want to tal)
about the	schedule with	h the witness for a minute
Can he hav	ve the documen	nt and we won't show it to
the witness	ss, just so we	e can save that two
minutes, r	now that I've	talked about it for one
minute.		

4 5

MR. KRISTAL: Let me identify the document, and you can make your objection and we the can take a lunch break.

Exhibit 12 is dated September 10, 1981, notes of meeting of committee of general counsel held on September 10, 1991.

MR. KLUGMAN: Okay.

MR. KRISTAL: And it is eight pages of the notes of the meeting.

MR. PADMANABHAN: Defendants would object on the basis of attorney-client privilege and work product. On that basis, we object to any questions about this document.

MR. KLUGMAN: Before we go off the record, let me see where we are. At the last session, you said you would have 45 minutes or an hour. We are in excess of three hours now. I would like to know where we are going in 5 deference to Mr. Zahn and his schedule. 6 7 MR. KRISTAL: Why don't we do this off the record? No need to do it on the record. R MR. KLUGMAN: That't what you said. I 9

1

2

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

don't care. I just want to know. I don't care if it is on the record or not.

VIDEO OPERATOR: Going off the record at 12:36.

> (Discussion off the record.) (Lunch.)

MR. PADMANABHAN: Over the break, we learned that certain exhibits to which we had tentatively claimed privilege subject to a further review are not subject to claims of privilege, and so based on that understanding, we

would withdraw our claims with respect to the 21

> COURT REPORTING CONCEPTS, INC. Baltimore, Maryland Fax (410) 821-4889 Phone (410) 821-4888

ı	following exhibits: Exhibit 3, Exhibit 4,
2	Exhibit 5, Exhibit 6, Exhibit 8. That's it.
3	MR. KRISTAL: Thank you.
4	(Discussion off the record.)
5	VIDEO OPERATOR: Back on the record,
6	the time is 1:53.
7	Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Zahn.
8	A. Good afternoon

- We were about to discuss Exhibit 12, which are the notes of meeting of committee of general counsel, held on September 10, 1981.

And it lists the attendees at this meeting. The first group were listed as general counsel, Witt, Stevens, Jamie Cherry, Fred Neuman and a representative of Brown & Williamson.

Do you see that?

Yes. Α.

9

10

11 12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

- To your knowledge, were those people, the named people, general counsel of tobacco companies?
 - A. I can only speak about Stevens. I

think I remember Witt. The name Jamie Cherry and Fred Neuman, the names Jamie Cherry and Fred Neuman, I don't remember at all.

- Q. And then also attending were individuals who were listed as *litigating lawyers." Do you see that?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Brown, Jacob, Finnegan, Sirridge, Shinn, Bezanson.
 - A. No, it's Bezanson.
 - Q. Thank you. B-e-z-a-n-s-c-n?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Northrip and it looks like FMD?
 - A. That's what it looks like.
- Q. And it was your understanding that those people listed there were litigating lawyers for various tobacco companies?
- A. I know that, I know all, if it is Janet Brown, the first one, I know the names, Bezanson, I don't know who are the initials, who is the initial that is here, and I didn't know that they

1	were	a ll 1.	itigator	s or w	hatever.	I	knew	they
2	were	lawye	rs for v	arious	companie	s .		

- Q. Finnegan is Tim Finnegan, do you recall him?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. He was with the law firm of Shook, Hardy & Bacon?
 - A. No.
 - Q. What law firm was he with?
- A. He was Jacob Medinger, ultimately Jacob Medinger & Finnegan, he became a partner, a named partner.
- Q. We'll get to it in a little while, but do you recall serving on a subcommittee of a Task Force involving the Fourth World Conference on Smoking and Health with Mr. Senkus and Mr. Finnegan?
- A. I think so, but I am not sure. I would not be surprised if that did happen that way.
- Q. We have some documents I'll show you and I think it may help you recall that.

CONFIDENTIAL -- UNDER SEAL

494

The document starts, one, Special Projects and just so the jury can understand the format of this document. There is a name listed and then there is text opposite the name, and that is throughout most of the document; is that correct?

- A. Yes.
- Q. The first one reads:

9

8

1

2

5

6 7

REDACTED

11

13

14

15

16

18

19

21

Do you see that?

- A. Uh-huh. Yes.
- Q. Did you have any understanding that the Special Projects origin was in the litigating lawyer needs?
 - A. No. I did not.
 - Q. And then the document goes on:

	CONFIDENTIAL UNDER SEAL 495
1	
2	- OTED
3	REDACTED
4	Did you have any understanding as to
5	whether or not either one of those was the
6	purpose of a special project?
7	MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to form.
8	A. No. I did not.
9	Q. Under Stevens or next to Stevens' name,
10	it is written:
11	! :
12	REF 107%
13	N.C.
14	<u>;</u>
15	
16	
17	TER LOTED
18	REDACTED
19	II
20	
21	Do you see that?
	COURT REPORTING CONCEPTS, INC. Baltimore, Maryland
	Phone (410) 821-4888 Fax (410) 821-4889

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Did Mr. Stevens ever discuss with you
3	wanting to make Special Projects invulnerable to
4	attack?
5	A. Not that I remember.
6	Q. The Aviado that is listed there?
7	A. Aviado.
8	Q. A-v-i-a-d-o, that was Domingo Aviado?
9	A. Yes. I believe so.
10	Q. And he had done research for CTR?
11	MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to form.
12	A. I don't remember whether he was a
13	grantee or not. I would have to see an annual
14	report, you know, to be sure that I would
15	remember correctly.
16	Q. All right. If you look at the third
17	page of this document next to Jacob's name. It
18	states:
1 0	

REDACTED

COURT REPORTING CONCEPTS, INC.
Baltimore, Maryland
Phone (410) 821-4888 Fax (410) 821-4889

20

•

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

REDACTED

Do you see that?

- A. · Yes.
- Was it ever discussed in any liaison committees or at any time you were involved in consulting to CTR, that it was necessary to keep a doctor busy, or he would lose interest?

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to form.

- Perhaps so. I just don't remember.
- Next to Mr. Witt's name, the document states:

REDACTED

Do you see that?

- Yes. Α.
- That is not consistency with CTR's stated purpose, is it?

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.

- I would say no. A.
- Stevens, next to his name it says: Q.

REDACTEL

_	
	CONFIDENTIAL UNDER SEAL 498
1	
2	Den
3	REDACTED
4	
5	Do you see that?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. In your mind, at any point in time when
8	you consulted for CTR, was there a distinction
9	between Special Projects, between lawyers'
10	Special Projects and CTR Special Projects?
11	MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to form.
12	A. I don't recall ever hearing a
13	discussion about any difference between these
14	two.
15	Q. The document continues:
1 t	
17	
18	REDACTED
19	
20	·
21	Do you see that?

^	$ \wedge $	N	F	Ŧ	n		N'	T 1	r a	J.	 UNI	D 2 D	•	F :	A 1	,
•	u	1.4	r	1	u	E.	N	1 :			 UIN	1 J P. R	-	P. 4		

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Do you know who Janice was?
3	A. I don't remember the name.
4	Q. Was there ever any discussion about
5	getting money into the hands of various
6	researchers?
7	A. Well, I'm sure there was. I just
8	cannot recall any, though.
9	Q. Was there ever any discussion about
10	getting money into the hands of researchers, in
11	order to cultivate witnesses for litigation
12	purposes?
13	A. I don't remember any such, any meetings
14	at any meetings I was at.
15	Q. And then the document continues next to
16	Mr. Jacob's name, it states:
17	
18	
19	
20	PEDACTED

REDACTED

COURT REPORTING CONCEPTS, INC.
Baltimore, Maryland Phone (410) 821-4888 Fax (410) 821-4889

CONFIDENTIAL UNDER SEA	C	ON	FI	DENT	IAI	. . .	UNDER	SEAT
------------------------	---	----	----	------	-----	--------------	-------	------

	REDACTED
11	

1

3

4

5

6

7.

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

Do you see that?

Yes. Α.

Did you ever discuss with any of the Scientific Directors that you had contact with during the years that you consulted with CTR about this distinction between whatever he or she may have liked, and what became a lawyers' project?

MR. PADMANABHAN: Objection, lacks foundation.

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection.

- I can't ever remember talking about any kind of special project with whoever was Scientific Director.
- Q. Okay. On the next page at the top, next to Jacob's name:

REDACTED

COURT REPORTING CONCEPTS, INC. Baltimore, Maryland Fax (410) 821-4889

Phone (410) 821-4888

Do you recall any discussion or concern being raised with a researcher by the name of Speilberger with respect to the FTC?

- A. I don't remember the name. If it does, I have forgotten it. Doesn't sound familiar to me. Speilberger. No.
- Q. With respect to Aviado where Mr. Jacob apparently said DEDACTED

anybody ever discuss with you protecting Special Projects by putting it under the lawyers' dominium?

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.

- A. I can't recall that.
- Q. Before I mark the next exhibit, let me switch gears in terms of topics.
 - A. Oh, I'm sorry.

1

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

- Q. You recall a group called the International Committee on Smoking Issues, ICOSI is the acronym?
- A. When you say ICOSI, yes, it sounds familiar. But the full name I just can't connect

1	with it. I don't remember it.
2	Q. Let me give you a document and see if
3	that refreshes your memory what ICOSI was.
4	Do you recall doing work for ICOSI?
5	A. I may well have, but I don't remember.
6	Q. You earlier mentioned you remembered
7	work you did for Philip Morris in Europe. What
8	did that entail?
9	A. I prepared a publication for Philip
10	Morris Europe that they provided to employees,
11	perhaps, distributors, others. I don't know the
12	full distribution list.
13	Q. The subject was smoking and health?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. Was it a scientific review of
16	articles? What type of document was it?
17	MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to form.
18	Q. Well, strike that. What type of
19	document was it?
20	A. You mean

COURT REPORTING CONCEPTS, INC.
Baltimore, Maryland
Phone (410) 821-4888 Fax (410) 821-4889

I don't mean the format. What was the

١	subject	matter	within	smoking	and	health?
---	---------	--------	--------	---------	-----	---------

Я

- A. These were published articles on smoking and health. Now, when I say published, to be totally clear about it, some of them were in a scientific or medical journals. Others were in newspapers, you know, lay publications, not scientific by any stretch of the imagination.
- Q. Did you also incorporate into that document any internal research done by any tobacco company or CTR?

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form. I don't know what you are talking about internal research by CTR, but go ahead.

- A. I can't recall, but I don't believe so. I would use only material that was part of a public record somewhere.
- Q. And the time frame was mid 1970's, when you this consultation with Philip Morris?
 - A. I'll take your word for that.
- Q. No. I'm asking you.
 - A. I would guess so, but I'm unsure about

Fax (410) 821-4889

Phone (410) 821-4888

document. Is it okay for Mr. Zahn to look at it?

MR. KLUGMAN: Sure.

- A. Can I look at the first page just to refresh my memory?
- Q. We're going to go over some of those things. I'm trying to refresh your memory by showing you your name in back.

On page 13, the page is entitled Philip Morris representation within ICOSI, and it has a list of various titles of groups and then a list of people and under the Task Force covering Fourth World Conference on Smoking and Health, you were listed as a consultant-scientific journalist, under Mr. J.M. Hartogh, who is listed as the chair of the Task Force?

A. Yes.

- Q. R. M. Corner, do you recall Richard Corner?
- A. First time I have heard that name in many, many years.

- Q. He was deposed yesterday in Manhattan.
- A. He is still alive.

MR. KLUGMAN: I hope so. He was in the morning anyhow.

THE WITNESS: I hope he still is today.

- Q. Do you remember Mr. Whist, W-h-i-s-t?
 He was with Philip Morris in Australia?
- A. I didn't know him when he was their. I knew him when he came to New York.
- Q. And then Don Hoel, he was a lawyer from the U.S., right, H-o-e-1?
- A. Yes.

1

3

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

- Q. And yourself was on the committee?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. Let's go to the front of the document where you were, and I'll read you some of it and see if it helps you recall some of your participation in the Task Force and ICOSI in general.

Under the reason and objectives the document reads: "The problems and attacks

proposing restrictions of smoking and normal commercial activities like advertising and publicity, have become highly international. Examples in one country are used to attach the industry in another. No one industry in one country, nor any one company, can wage and win the battle against this sort of organized worldwide attack. The resources are just not available to collect all the evidence required, nor to prepare counter attacks on a separate basis. The whole industry, companies and trade associations alike, must unite with common targets and common approaches."

Do you see that?

A. Yes.

Q. Does that help you recall at least the thrust of the reason behind the formation of ICOSI?

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.

A. Not really, Mr. Kristal. I remember there was an organization of this kind, and, of

course, I, when you asked me before if I had ever done any work for anything other than in Europe for Philip Morris, I said no, that was all I could remember.

Obviously, I was wrong, I must have done some work for this committee and charged them separately, I mean as a client on a project basis.

And I am also reminded that I did do a project job for Philip Morris in New York on one occasion when Andrew Whist's name came before me.

I don't recall any other deposition where I was asked about or where his name appeared. Andrew wanted me to cover a meeting in Sao Palo. And I really didn't want to go, and I told him I didn't want to go. Tut the money was good, so I did go down and cover the meeting, a scientific meeting.

Q. Now, the second item under reasons and objectives reads:

"Therefore, seven companies who conduct business in more than one country, decided to set up ICOSI. These founder companies are B.A.T., Gallaher, Imperial, Philip Morris, Reemtsma, Reynolds and Rothman's."

Do you see that?

A. Yes.

- Q. Do you recall that those are the members of ICOSI?
- $\label{eq:A.J.} \textbf{A.-} \quad \textbf{I have no idea}. \quad \textbf{I will assume that}$ they were.
- Q. Now, if you turn to page five where it says:

"Lead companies responsible for communications with each country."

You see the first paragraph is talking about ICOSI member communications with the relevant trade associations? You see that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Is it correct that you were aware that the tobacco industry had trade associations in

1	many countries throughout the world?
2	MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.
3	A. Well, I knew they had in companies that
4	I visited. I believe they did, because I did

- Q. I think you meant to say in the countries that you visited. You said companies by accident.
 - A. I'm sorry. Countries, you are right.
- Q. For example, the Tobacco Institute was the U.S. tobacco industry trade association?
 - A. There was a --

meet some of the people.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1 2.

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. First of all you need to say whether that's correct or not.
- A. Yes. There was an organization in France, and I met the woman who ran that.
 - Q. Do you recall Verband from Germany?
- A. Verband, I, of course, met Franz

 Adlkofer a number of times at meetings. In Sao

 Palo, although I'm not sure, but I know in Rio,
 in Argentina, I was introduced, in fact, if I

remember correctly, there was a luncheon at which

I met and spoke briefly with representatives of
the tobacco companies in that country.

I am trying to think of other places.

England, yes, of course, England. I was there a number of times at which I would attend a luncheon called for company people to ask me questions, and I would make a little presentation to them.

- Q. Do you recall a working party in ICOSI called SAWT, Social Acceptability Working Party?
 - A. No. I do not.
- Q. If you would turn to page eleven of this document. Item four references the Task Force concerning the Fourth World Conference on Smoking and Health, under the chair of J. M. Hartogn?
 - A. Yes.

Q. It reads: The Task Force covering the Fourth World Conference on Smoking and Health under the chairmanship of Mr. J.M. Hartogh of

Philip Morris is planning to monitor and come back on the spot strong propaganda expected to be generated at this conference, which takes place in Stockholm, Sweden in June and is sponsored by the World Health Organization and the Swedish health authorities; do you see that?

A. Yes.

1 2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

- Q. You attended that conference, did you not, in Stockholm, Sweden?
 - A. Yes. I did.
- Q. And that was, in fact, sponsored by, at least one of the sponsors was the World Health Organization?
 - MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.
- A. It says that here. I'm sure it was. I don't remember.

MR. KRISTAL: All right. We'll get to some documents that may help you recall that.

Let me mark as Exhibit 14 a document dated September 26, 1978, from Mr. Kloepfer to Mr. Kornegay and Tom Frankovic.

ı	THE WITNESS: Kloepfer is the way you
2	pronounce it.
3	(Whereupon, Zahn Deposition
4	Exhibit No. 14, memo from Kloepfer to Kornegay
5	and Frankovic, dated September 26, 1978, marked.)
6	MR. KRISTAL: Now, this
7	MR. KLUGMAN: Hold on one second.
8	Okay. You can look at it, Mr. Zahn.
9	Q. Who is Tom Frankovic?
10	A. I do not know. I don't remember him.
11	Q. The memo references a visit by
12	Mr. Hartogh along with a woman by the name of
13	Mary Covington?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. Ms. Covington was also a Philip Morris
16	employee?
17	A. Yes. She was. In Europe yes, I
18	know she was at one period. I remember her, yes.
19	Q. Do you also recall that she became
20	Secretary General of ICOSI at one point in time?
21	A. Was ICOSI in Brussels?

1	Q. Yes.
2	A. Yes. I remember now, yes.
3	Q. They were based in, do you recall,
4	Liggett & Meyers' office space that was being
5	leased by Philip Morris in Brussels?
6	A. I'm sorry Liggett & Meyers?
7	Q. Office space being leased by Philip
8	Morris?
9	A. I never knew that.
10	Q. Now, the document references at the
11	last sentence of the second paragraph: "A new
12	one headed by Hartogh is charged with
13	coordination of industry coverage of the Fourth
14	World Conference on Smoking and Health."
15	Do you see that?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. Then the next to the last
18	paragraph: "Mr. Hartogh was reporting that he
19	might be the captain of an international team at
20	the Fourth World Conference."

COURT REPORTING CONCEPTS, INC.
Baltimore, Maryland
Phone (410) 821-4888 Fax (410) 821-4889

Do you see that?

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Is it correct that at various world
3	conferences, the tobacco industry would send a
4	group to monitor the situation, to issue press
5	releases as the conference was going on?
6	MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.
7	A. I do know that The Institute did attend
8	some of these meetings in that regard, yes.
9	Q. And, for instance here there is an
10	American Cancer Society forum that is referenced
11	there. Do you see that?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Did you attend the American Cancer
14	Society forum?
15	A. I don't know which one they are talking
16	about. Well, it had to be the Science Writing
17	Forum.
18	Q. That you attended?
19	A. No. I never did attend one of those.
20	Q. Did you ever attend any conference,
21	other than Stockholm which we are going to get

to, in 1979, did you ever attend any conference at any time where it was your function to serve as public relations consultant to issue on-the-spot press releases to rebut something that occurred during the conference?

A. Well, first, I cannot recall any other conference I attended to do something that I would handle in a public way.

But it was either The Institute or in the Stockholm case, ICOSI, that had the ability to set up a facility at the meeting and to issue a statement.

- Q. Now, do you recall that ICOSI would never take a public position, always took a low profile and would direct statements to other groups?
 - MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.
- A. Not aware of that. I'm just unfamiliar with how it operated.

(Whereupon, Zahn Exhibit No. 15, memo from Hartogh to Murray dated October 17,

1978, was marked for identification.) 1 Q. I'll give you Exhibit 15, which is 2 dated October 17, 1978, Mr. Hartogh to 3 Mr. Murray. The subject is ICOSI project team Fourth World Conference on Smoking and Health. 5 Stockholm, 1979. 6 Do you see that? MR. KLUGMAN: One second. 8 Q. By the way, do you recall there was 9 someone from the Committee of Counsel, someone 10 name Haas, H-a-a-s, on one of the other 11 12 documents? A. Yes. I mentioned Fred Haas, he was a 13 lawyer for Liggett & Meyers, I think, yes. 14 Q. On this document, it is reported by 15 16 Mr. Hartogh to Mr. Murray that he has assembled his project team. 17 Do you see that? 18 Yes. 19 He lists the members of the team, going 20 out of order, you are listed as one of the 21

COURT REPORTING CONCEPTS, INC. Baltimore, Maryland

Fax (410) 821-4889

Phone (410) 821-4888

CONFIDENTIAL -- UNDER SEAL 518 1 members of his team? 2 Α. Yes. 3 And it says, with respect to you, he will be our contact man with the conference press room? 5 6 Α. Yes. 7 Do you recall doing that at that conference? 8 MR. KLUGMAN: Object to the form. 9 I believe so. 1 C Α. The other members of Mr. Hartogh's 11 12 group, the Fourth World Conference Task Force, were Murray Senkus of Reynolds. Do you know 13 Mr. Senkus? 14 15 Α. Yes. He was Scientific Director of 16 **Q**. 17 Revnolds? I am not sure of his title. 18 Α. 19 Dr. Adlkoefer you already mentioned 20 with respect to the German trade association? 21 Α. Yes.

Phone (410) 821-4888

COURT REPORTING CONCEPTS, INC. Baltimore, Maryland

Fax (410) 821-4889

CONFIDENTIAL -- UNDER SEAL

COURT REPORTING CONCEPTS, INC.
Baltimore, Maryland
Phone (410) 821-4888 Fax (410) 821-4889

communications center in Stockholm has been set

which is five minutes from the convention center

up in the offices of a public relations firm,

19

20

21

where the conference will be held.

In fact, this PR firm is a permanent advisor to Stockholm's trade fair organization and as such has a permanent office right in the convention buildings, so we will even have a pied-a-terre on the conference's door steps (of course, operating under the name of this PR firm)".

Do you see that?

A. Yes.

2

3

5

6

7.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. Do you recall that Mr. Hartogh's Task Force, the one you were on, was operating out of the offices of a Swedish public relations firm?
 - A., I just don't remember that.
- Q. Do you recall that ICOSI -- strike that.

Let me show you another document.

Exhibit 16 is entitled meeting of Task Force, forth World Conference on smoking and health, Kansas City, November 20-21, 1978,

21 participants. I'll give you my copy, which is on

COURT REPORTING CONCEPTS, INC.
Baltimore, Maryland
Phone (410) 821-4888 Fax (410) 821-4889

70049221

1	recycled paper. Let me give you a clean sheet.
2	You are listed as a participant in a
3	Task Force that met in Kansas City. This is in
4	November of '78, this is some eight, nine months
5	before the June, '79 World Conference. Do you
6	recall that?
7	A. No. I don't, but I have no doubt I was
8	there.
9	MR. KRISTAL: I'll give you Exhibit 17,
10	January 16, 1979.
11	(Whereupon, Zahn Deposition
12	Exhibit No. 16, minutes of meeting of November
13	20/21, 1978, marked.)
14	(Whereupon, Zahn Deposition
15	Exhibit No. 17, letter from Hartogh to Dolleris.
16	dated January 16, 1979, marked.)
17	MR. KLUGMAN: I have that as 16. Are we
18	skipping 16?
19	Q. This was are from Mr. Hartogh to an
20	Axel Dolleris, Public Relations Consultation, in
21	Copenhagen dated January 16, 1979. The subject
	COURT REPORTING CONCEPTS, INC.

Baltimore, Maryland
Phone (410) 821-4888 Fax (410) 821-4889

is Fourth World Conference on Smoking and Health,
Stockholm.

Do you see that?

MR. KLUGMAN: Hold on one second.

A. I haven't looked at it yet.

MR. KRISTAL: While there is a

decision --

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

20

21

MR. KLUGMAN: Go ahead. He can look at it.

MR. KRISTAL: Exhibit 18 is the list from the Fourth World Conference which lists the various participants.

(Whereupon, Zahn Deposition Exhibit No. 18, list of participants, marked.)

Q. Exhibit 17, the letter reads: "Peter Axel: I knowledge receipt of and thank you for your letter of January 11 concerning your work, our work, in connection with the forthcoming 4th WCSH. I will reply to your questions and suggestions one by one.

One, contacting Mr. Lars Ramstrom,

during possible contacting of Mr. Ramstrom you cannot advice him that your clients are either Philip Morris or ICOSI.

Therefore, if you feel that

Mr. Ramstrom might insist on knowing the name of
the client, then please do not, I repeat, do not
contact him."

Do you see that?

A. Yes.

1

2

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. Lars Ramstrom was the Director General of the National Smoking and Health Association in Stockholm; do you recall that?
- A. I didn't know, I knew he was, I recall now that I see the name, that he was an organization.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. But I didn't recall the name of it.
- Q. Okay. If you look on Exhibit 18, the list of participants, and it is in alphabetical order, if you look on the page with the R's, Mr. Ramstrom is listed as a participant and the

Director General of the National Smoking and Health Association, Stockholm, Sweden?

A. Oh, yes.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

13

14

15 16

17

18

20

- Q. Now, Exhibit 18 is a brochure. It says up top: Fourth World Conference on Smoking and Health, Stockholm, Sweden, 18-21 June 1979, and then it is also in, I don't know if that is Italian and Spanish, two other languages which say the same thing; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And then it lists a number of participants in the conference, and it says.

 "494 participants, 66 nations."
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And the U.S., apparently there were 59 participants?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Now, if you will look at the first page, most of the people are listed, in terms of their name and then their affiliation and a city and a country?

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Okay. You see on the first page,
3	Domingo Aviado is listed there?
4	A, Yes
5	Q. And next to his name, it says doctor,
6	and it just said Shore Hills, USA?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Do you know what group Dr. Aviado was
9	at the conference representing?
١٥	MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.
11	A. No. I do not.
1 2	Q. Do you know if the tobacco industry
1.3	paid certain scientists to appear at the
14	conference to ask questions?
15	A. Do I know absolutely that it did?
16	Q. I have a feeling if I say absolutely I
17	know what the answer is. Do you have a belief
18	that they did?
19	A. I believe they did, yes. Or it did.
20	Q. Okay.
21	A. I have no knowledge, I didn't see a
	COURT REPORTING CONCEPTS, INC. Baltimore, Maryland
	Phone (410) 821-4888 Fax (410) 821-4889

check or a memo that said pay them, but I have no doubt that the tobacco industry or The Institute paid for some people to go there.

- Q. Now, if you look on the page, if I can find it here, page 11 of the participants, down towards the bottom, it says: Shinn, William.
 You see that?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. William Shinn was a participant at the conference, was he not?
- A. I don't remember, but I -- I well, I just don't remember. Sometimes people do enroll, register and pay by mail and do not show up. He may well have been there.
- Q. His affiliation is not listed by his name there, is it? Just says Kansas City, USA?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. No attribution that he is from a law firm, correct?
 - A. No.
 - Q. And if you look under the P's, page

Phone (410) 821-4888

Fax (410) 821-4889

memorandum from Panzer to Kornegay, dated May 1.

1972, was marked for identification.)

Q. Let me show you what I will mark as Exhibit 19, I will just digress for one moment, which was May 1, 1972, from Fred Panzer to Horace Kornegay, subject the Roper Proposal.

Now, Mr. Kornegay was the president of the Tobacco Institute?

A. Yes.

Q. And Mr. Panzer writes to Mr. Kornegay in May of 1972 under general comments:

"It is my strong belief that we now have an opportunity to take the initiative in the cigarette controversy and start to turn it around. For nearly 20 years, this industry has employed a single strategy to defend itself on three major fronts: Litigation, politics and public opinion.

While the strategy was brilliantly conceived and executed over the years, helping us win important battles, it is only fair to say

that it is not, nor was it intended to be, a vehicle for victory.

On the contrary, it has always been a holding strategy consisting of creating doubt about the health charge without actually denying it, advocating the public's right to-smoke without actually urging them to take up the practice, encouraging objective scientific research as the only way to resolve the question of health hazard."

Do you see that?

A. Yes.

Q. Did Mr. Panzer ever share with you his belief that the strategy had been a holding strategy consisting of those three elements?

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.

- A. Not that I can recall.
- Q. Okay. And then he continues in his memo: "On the litigation front, for which the strategy was designed, it has been successful."

 Do you see that?

	Α.	Yes.			
	Q.	Did anybody	ever share	e with y	you that
l	the tobac	co industry	strategy ha	ad been	designed

for the litigation front?

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.

- A. No. I don't recall anyone saying that.
- Q. Have you ever seen this document before?
- A. I don't remember it, no. I may have, but I have no recollection whatsoever.
- Q. The document continues: "While we have not lost the liability case, this is not because juries have rejected the anti-smoking arguments.

On the political front, the strategy has helped make possible an orderly retreat. But it is fair to say that it has not stemmed the ressure for new legislation despite the major concessions we have made.

On the public opinion front, however, our situation has deteriorated and will continue to worsen. This erosion will have an adverse

effect on the other fronts, because here is where the beliefs, attitudes and actions of judges, juries, elected officials and government employees are formed."

You see that?

A. Yes.

Q. Were you involved at all in formulating the public opinion front for the tobacco industry?

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.

- A. To the extent of presenting the Council's program in terms of research support, I suppose so.
- Q. And then Mr. Panzer's memo goes on. He has a heading entitled "the strategic impasse."

"As an industry, therefore, we are committed to an ill-defined middle ground which is articulated by variations on the theme that the case is not proved."

Do you see that?

A. Yes.

press release was, was it not, Exhibit 1? MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form. A. I suppose so, yes, I would say so. Q. Let's get back to ICOSI. Let me MR. KLUGMAN: New documents? Are we going back to the old ones? MR. KRISTAL: No, we are moving to so new ones. Pressing on. Trying to make progre. You want me to go back, I will. MR. KLUGMAN: I can't believe what y missed in those documents. Q. Exhibit 20 and 21, let me give you a matched set. Exhibit 20 is a February 8, 1979 mem from Mr. Hartogh referencing a final action plan. Exhibit 21 is entitled action plan. And I will also give you Exhibit 22 and Exhibit 23.		
MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form. A. I suppose so, yes, I would say so. Q. Let's get back to ICOSI. Let me MR. KLUGMAN: New documents? Are we going back to the old ones? MR. KRISTAL: No, we are moving to so new ones. Pressing on. Trying to make progre. You want me to go back, I will. MR. KLUGMAN: I can't believe what y missed in those documents. Q. Exhibit 20 and 21, let me give you a matched set. Exhibit 20 is a February 8, 1979 mem from Mr. Hartogh referencing a final action plan. Exhibit 21 is entitled action plan. And I will also give you Exhibit 22 and Exhibit 23.	1	Q. That is what the subject of your 1979
A. I suppose so, yes, I would say so. Q. Let's get back to ICOSI. Let me MR. KLUGMAN: New documents? Are we going back to the old ones? MR. KRISTAL: No, we are moving to so new ones. Pressing on. Trying to make progre. You want me to go back, I will. MR. KLUGMAN: I can't believe what you missed in those documents. Q. Exhibit 20 and 21, let me give you a matched set. Exhibit 20 is a February 8, 1979 mem from Mr. Hartogh referencing a final action plan. Exhibit 21 is entitled action plan. And I will also give you Exhibit 22 and Exhibit 23.	2	press release was, was it not, Exhibit 1?
MR. KLUGMAN: New documents? Are we going back to the old ones? MR. KRISTAL: No, we are moving to so new ones. Pressing on. Trying to make progres. You want me to go back, I will. MR. KLUGMAN: I can't believe what you missed in those documents. Q. Exhibit 20 and 21, let me give you a matched set. Exhibit 20 is a February 8, 1979 mem from Mr. Hartogh referencing a final action plan. Exhibit 21 is entitled action plan. And I will also give you Exhibit 22 and Exhibit 23.	3	MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.
MR. KLUGMAN: New documents? Are we going back to the old ones? MR. KRISTAL: No, we are moving to some ones. Pressing on. Trying to make progres. You want me to go back, I will. MR. KLUGMAN: I can't believe what your missed in those documents. Q. Exhibit 20 and 21, let me give your a matched set. Exhibit 20 is a February 8, 1979 mem from Mr. Hartogh referencing a final action plan. Exhibit 21 is entitled action plan. And I will also give your Exhibit 22 and Exhibit 23.	4	A. I suppose so, yes, I would say so.
going back to the old ones? MR. KRISTAL: No, we are moving to so new ones. Pressing on. Trying to make progres. You want me to go back, I will. MR. KLUGMAN: I can't believe what your and in those documents. Q. Exhibit 20 and 21, let me give you a matched set. Exhibit 20 is a February 8, 1979 mem from Mr. Hartogh referencing a final action plan. Exhibit 21 is entitled action plan. And I will also give you Exhibit 22 and Exhibit 23.	5	Q. Let's get back to ICOSI. Let me
MR. KRISTAL: No, we are moving to some new ones. Pressing on. Trying to make progress. You want me to go back, I will. MR. KLUGMAN: I can't believe what you amused in those documents. Q. Exhibit 20 and 21, let me give you amust matched set. Exhibit 20 is a February 8, 1979 mem from Mr. Hartogh referencing a final action plan. Exhibit 21 is entitled action plan. And I will also give you Exhibit 22 and Exhibit 23.	6	MR. KLUGMAN: New documents? Are we
new ones. Pressing on. Trying to make progress You want me to go back, I will. MR. KLUGMAN: I can't believe what you missed in those documents. Q. Exhibit 20 and 21, let me give you a matched set. Exhibit 20 is a February 8, 1979 mem from Mr. Hartogh referencing a final action plan. Exhibit 21 is entitled action plan. And I will also give you Exhibit 22 and Exhibit 23.	7	going back to the old ones?
You want me to go back, I will. MR. KLUGMAN: I can't believe what you are missed in those documents. Q. Exhibit 20 and 21, let me give you are matched set. Exhibit 20 is a February 8, 1979 mem from Mr. Hartogh referencing a final action plan. Exhibit 21 is entitled action plan. And I will also give you Exhibit 22 and Exhibit 23.	8	MR. KRISTAL: No, we are moving to some
MR. KLUGMAN: I can't believe what your amissed in those documents. Q. Exhibit 20 and 21, let me give you a matched set. Exhibit 20 is a February 8, 1979 mem from Mr. Hartogh referencing a final action plan. Exhibit 21 is entitled action plan. And I will also give you Exhibit 22 and Exhibit 23.	9	new ones. Pressing on. Trying to make progress.
missed in those documents. Q. Exhibit 20 and 21, let me give you a matched set. Exhibit 20 is a February 8, 1979 mem from Mr. Hartogh referencing a final action plan. Exhibit 21 is entitled action plan. And I will also give you Exhibit 22 and Exhibit 23.	. 0	You want me to go back, I will.
Q. Exhibit 20 and 21, let me give you a matched set. Exhibit 20 is a February 8, 1979 mem from Mr. Hartogh referencing a final action plan. Exhibit 21 is entitled action plan. And I will also give you Exhibit 22 and Exhibit 23.	.1	MR. KLUGMAN: I can't believe what you
matched set. Exhibit 20 is a February 8, 1979 mem from Mr. Hartogh referencing a final action plan. Exhibit 21 is entitled action plan. And I will also give you Exhibit 22 and Exhibit 23.	. 2	missed in those documents.
Exhibit 20 is a February 8, 1979 mem from Mr. Hartogh referencing a final action plan. Exhibit 21 is entitled action plan. And I will also give you Exhibit 22 and Exhibit 23.	. 3	Q. Exhibit 20 and 21, let me give you a
from Mr. Hartogh referencing a final action plan. Exhibit 21 is entitled action plan. And I will also give you Exhibit 22 and Exhibit 23.	4	matched set.
plan. Exhibit 21 is entitled action plan. And I will also give you Exhibit 22 and Exhibit 23.	. 5	Exhibit 20 is a February 8, 1979 memo
Exhibit 21 is entitled action plan. And I will also give you Exhibit 22 and Exhibit 23.	6	from Mr. Hartogh referencing a final action
And I will also give you Exhibit 22 and Exhibit 23.	١7	plan.
Exhibit 23.	8 1	Exhibit 21 is entitled action plan.
	1 9	And I will also give you Exhibit 22 and
Exhibit 22 is an appendix Number Cne	2 0	Exhibit 23.
	21	Exhibit 22 is an appendix Number Cne to

1	the action plan, and Exhibit 23 is Appendix Two
2	to the action plan; and I believe, and you will
3	have a chance to look at it, you participated in
4	writing Exhibit 22, which is Appendix One of the
5	action plan.
6	(Whereupon, Zahn Deposition
7	Exhibit No. 20, memo dated February 8, 1979, from
8	Hartogh to distribution, marked.)
9	(Whereupon, Zahn Deposition
10	Exhibit No. 21, action plan, marked.)
11	(Whereupon, Zahn Deposition
12	Exhibit No. 22, conference program analysis,
13	marked.)
14	(Whereupon, Zahn Deposition
15	Exhibit No. 23, action proposed by subcommittee,
16	marked.)
17	MR. KRISTAL: Having said that, I will
18	give you these.
19	MR. SCHEINER: On this copy, there is
20	something on the back. It is a portion of a
21	document.
	COURT REPORTING CONCEPTS, INC. Baltimore, Maryland
	Phone (410) 821-4888 Fax (410) 821-4889

1 | distribution list?

A. Yes.

Q. What is being distributed is a final action plan to cover the forthcoming Fourth World Conference on Smoking and Health in Stockholm, June of 1979, which has been approved by the Executive Committee in February of 1979.

Do you see that?

A. Yes.

Q. And then Exhibit 21 is entitled action plan proposed by the ICOSI Task Force, Fourth World Conference on Smoking and Health, in Stockholm, June of '79, right?

A. Yes.

Q. Someone has written in handwriting at the top approved by X committee Amsterdam, 5-6-79.

A. Yes.

Q. The introduction reads: "Task Force, headed by J. M. Hartogh, met in Kansas City on November 20/21, 1978."

1	
1	Do you recall that was the document
2	where there was a list of people at a meeting
3	where your name was listed as a participant in
4	Kansas City?
5	MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form.
6	That is not what the testimony is.
7	Q. It was an earlier document I marked
8	from the Kansas City meeting.
9	A. Yes. I remember that.
٥ .	MR. KLUGMAN: 16.
. 1	THE WITNESS: Yes. I remember, my name
. 2	is there.
. з	Q. It says: Two working committees were
. 4	formed. One, conference program analysis headed
. 5	by M. Senkus, Appendix One; and, two, third world
. 6	implications of conference, headed by G.
. 7	Hargrove, Appendix Two?
. 8	A. Yes.
9	Q. G. Hargrove was Gwynn Hargrove, you
20	recall Mr. Hargrove?
21	A. Yes. I remember.

- Q. Under strategy, it says here: "ICOSI coverage of the conference will be (qua ICOSI) low profile." Do you see that?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Do you recall that as being your working instructions?
 - MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to form.
- A. I don't recall that at all, but it could well have been.
- Q. Under action on page two, preconference action, it reads:

"A Stockholm based public relations agency, which is already handling PR for the Stockholm exhibition and convention complex, has been appointed to handle logistic matters to monitor the conference organizer's activities and to assist with press room activities at the conference, if and when necessary, but under the strict control of Task Force members present in Stockholm.

In any case, all agency activities on

behalf of the industry will be without a public profile."

Do you see that?

A. Yes.

Q. Why was that done?

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection to the form. Lack of foundation.

- A. Well, I guess, you would have to ask Hartogh and the Executive Committee, but wasn't there something just before that we looked at that said the ICOSI would operate without any public attention or something to that effect?
- Q. Yes. My question is: Why would that be done?

 $$\operatorname{MR}$.$ KLUGMAN: Objection to the form. Lack of foundation.

- A. I would assume because they wanted to not to have any public profile shown.
- Q. Now, if you look at Exhibit 21, which is in the upper right-hand corner, I'm sorry, 22. Thank you, Appendix One.

ICOSI Task Force Fourth World

Conference on Smoking and Health, and it says

conference program analysis.

Do you see that?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7.

8

9

10

11

13

15

17

19

20

21

- Q. It says prepared by a subcommittee headed by M. Senkus and members L. Zahn and T. Finnegan. Do you see that?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Do you recall now this subcommittee with you, Mr. Finnegan, and Mr. Senkus on it?
 - A. No. I do not.
- Q. It says under the background: "Impetus for the Fourth World Conference on Smoking and Health came originally from the National Smoking and Health Association of Sweden shortly after the conclusion of the third conference in 1975.

The conferences have been held so far every four years. The Swedish government offered to provide funding.

The organizers include the Swedish

Ministry of Health and Social Affairs and the country's Smoking and Health Association. Among the co-sponsors are WHO, International Union Against Cancer, International Union Against Tuberculosis and others."

Do you recall the ICOSI position that those groups that I just mentioned were part of the "anti-smoking forces"; do you recall that?

MR. KLUGMAN: Objection.

A. I just do not know.

Q. Then it is listed in this program analysis, which as it states you helped prepare, conference has three stated themes.

One, the claimed impact of smoking on health as well as smoking and society, which includes sessions on advertising, youth and passive smoking.

po you know what the tobacco industry's position was with respect to those three items, advertising, youth and passive smoking?

A. I would assume. Well, I shouldn't